

# TOWARD EFFECTIVE LIOUVILLIAN INTEGRATION

GAËL COUSIN, ALCIDES LINS NETO, AND JORGE VITÓRIO PEREIRA

ABSTRACT. We prove that foliations on the projective plane admitting a Liouvillian first integral but not admitting a rational first integral always have invariant algebraic curves of degree bounded by a function of the degree of the foliation. We establish, for the same class of foliations, the existence of a bound for the degree of the simplest integrating factor depending only on the degree of the foliation and on the nature of its singularities. We also prove the existence of invariant algebraic curves of small degree for foliations with rational first integral and intermediate Kodaira dimension.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Structure of transversely affine foliations	4
3. Virtually transversely Euclidean foliations	8
4. Foliation of Kodaira dimension one	16
5. Existence of invariant curves of small degree	23
6. Algorithmic Liouvillian integration	25
References	33

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper draws motivation from an ancient question studied by Poincaré, Autonne, Painlevé and others: Is it possible to decide if all the orbits of a polynomial vector field on the complex affine plane are algebraic ?

Poincaré observes [Poi91] that in order to provide a positive answer to the above question it suffices to bound the degree of the general orbit. Even if Poincaré is not explicit on which parameters the bound should depend on, in general, examples as simple as linear vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  show that such bound must depend on combinatorial data attached to the singularities of the vector field like their resolution process and quotients of eigenvalues of the resulting foliation. Soon after the appearance of Poincaré's paper, Painlevé writes in [Pai97, pp. 216–217] the following paragraph.

J'ajoute qu'on ne peut espérer résoudre d'un coup qui consiste à limiter  $n$ . L'énoncé vers lequel il faut tendre doit avoir la

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F75, 57R30.

*Key words and phrases.* Foliation, transversely affine structure, Liouvillian first integrals, invariant algebraic curves.

G. Cousin was supported by Labex IRMIA, ANR project Iso-Galois and CAPES. A. Lins Neto and J.V. Pereira were partially supported by Cnpq and Faperj.

forme suivante: “On sait reconnaître si l’intégrale d’une équation  $F(y', y, x) = 0$  donnée est algébrique ou ramener l’équation aux quadratures.” Dans ce dernier cas, la question reviendrait à reconnaître si une certaine intégrale abélienne (de première ou de troisième espèce) n’a que deux ou une périodes.

Painlevé suggests that one should first ask whether or not a given polynomial vector field admits a first integral “expressed through quadratures”; and only then, having this special first integral at hand, decide whether or not the leaves are algebraic. To put things in perspective it is useful to notice that the strategy to deal with the analogous problem for linear differential equations with rational coefficients is in accordance with Painlevé’s suggestion *cf.* [BD79].

The vague terminology first integral “expressed through quadratures” can be formalized in several distinct ways. One possible interpretation is that one should look for first integrals belonging to a Liouvillian extension of the differential field  $(\mathbb{C}(x, y), \{\partial_x, \partial_y\})$ . For a precise definition and thorough discussion of this concept we refer to [Sin92] and [CLP07]. An important fact is Singer’s theorem [Sin92] that asserts that Liouvillian integrable foliations of the plane are *transversely affine* foliations. We recall that a foliation defined by a rational 1-form  $\omega$  is transversely affine if  $\omega$  admits an integrating factor, i.e. a closed rational 1-form  $\eta$  such that  $d\omega = \omega \wedge \eta$ . The class of transversely affine foliations includes the class of virtually transversely Euclidean foliations. These are foliations which, after pull-back by a generically finite rational map, are defined by a closed rational 1-form.

More recently, it came to light a family of examples [LN02] showing the impossibility of giving bounds for the degree of a general algebraic orbit depending only on the analytical type of the singularities of the foliation/vector field. They consist on one parameter families of holomorphic foliations with fixed analytical type of singularities, such that the general foliation has only finitely many algebraic leaves and, in contrast, for a dense set of the parameter space the corresponding foliations have algebraic general leaf, of unbounded degree. These families of examples highlight the difficulties of Poincaré’s original problem, and at the same time provide evidence for the effectiveness of the approach suggested by Painlevé as, in each family, all the members share a common integrating factor.

The reader not acquainted to the theory of holomorphic foliations on surfaces is invited to take a look at the first chapters of the reference textbook [Bru15], see also [Bru03].

**1.1. Invariant algebraic curves of small degree.** Our main results provide further evidence in favor of Painlevé’s strategy. The first one shows that foliations on the projective plane admitting a Liouvillian first integral, but which do not admit a rational first integral, always possess an invariant algebraic curve of comparatively small degree.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation of degree  $d \geq 2$  on the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a Liouvillian first integral but does not admit a rational first integral. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  admits an algebraic invariant curve of degree at most  $12(d - 1)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is not virtually transversely Euclidean then there exists such a curve of degree at most  $6(d - 1)$ .*

The starting point of the proof of Theorem A is Singer’s Theorem [Sin92]. Then, recent results on structure of transversely affine foliations, [CP14] and [LPT15],

establish that a transversely affine foliation on a projective surface is either the pull-back under a rational map of a Riccati foliation; or is birationally equivalent to a finite quotient of a foliation defined by a closed rational 1-form. In both cases, our strategy consists in looking for sections of powers of the canonical bundle of the foliation vanishing along invariant algebraic curves. To achieve this in the case of pull-back of Riccati foliations we explore the description of the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of the canonical bundle of foliations of Kodaira dimension one due to McQuillan, see [McQ08] and [Bru03], in order to prove that, for some  $k \leq 6$ ,  $|K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}|$  defines a map to a curve which will contain the sought curves in its fibers. The case of finite quotients of foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms is trickier and makes use of the nonexistence of rational first integral to guarantee the existence of a non-trivial representation of the fundamental group of the complement of the polar divisor of the transverse affine structure. We first deal with foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms. In this case, the non-trivial representation of the fundamental group allows us to produce logarithmic 1-forms generically transverse to the foliation and tangent to the zero divisor of the closed rational 1-form defining it. In the general case, one is asked to understand cyclic quotients of foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms. The proof goes on by studying the action of the relevant cyclic group on the space of symmetric logarithmic differentials and showing the existence of an invariant symmetric logarithmic differential of degree  $\leq 12$  tangent to the sought curves.

Of course, it would be highly desirable to have a similar result for foliations admitting a rational first integral. Unfortunately, our method to prove Theorem A exploits extensively the nonexistence of rational first integrals. Nevertheless, its use can be avoided in the case of foliations of Kodaira dimension zero or one. As a consequence we obtain a similar result for foliations admitting a rational first integral such that the underlying fibration is isotrivial with fibers of genus  $g \geq 2$ ; or the underlying fibration has elliptic fibers.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  admitting a rational first integral and of intermediate Kodaira dimension, i.e.  $\text{kod}(\mathcal{F}) \in \{0, 1\}$ . Suppose its degree is  $d \geq 2$ . Then the following assertions hold true.*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is birationally equivalent to an isotrivial fibration of genus 1 then  $\mathcal{F}$  admits an invariant algebraic curve of degree at most  $6(d-1)$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is birationally equivalent to a non-isotrivial fibration of genus 1 then any irreducible algebraic curve invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$  has degree at most  $12(d-1)$ .*
- (3) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is birationally equivalent to an isotrivial fibration of genus  $g \geq 2$  then  $\mathcal{F}$  admits an invariant algebraic curve of degree at most  $42(d-1)$ .*

It seems reasonable to conjecture that every algebraically integrable foliation of degree  $d$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  always has an invariant algebraic curve of degree at most  $C_d$  where  $C_d$  is a constant depending only on  $d$ . Complementary to Theorem B, [PS16] provides a bound for the degree of the general leaf of a foliation given by a non-isotrivial fibration of genus bigger than 1. However, the given bound also depends on the genus of the fiber.

**1.2. Effective bounds for the degree of an integrating factor.** In general, it is not possible to bound the degree of all algebraic curves invariant by a non-algebraically integrable transversely affine foliation just in function of the degree of the foliation. Explicit examples, derived from Gauss hypergeometric equation,

appeared in [CL02], see also [Per02]. The next best thing one might hope for is the existence of a bound for the invariant algebraic curves depending only on the degree of the foliation and on the local analytic type of singularities of the foliation. Our last main result establishes such a bound for a special invariant divisor.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with non-algebraic general leaf and admitting a Liouvillian first integral. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a reduction of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists an explicit constant  $\Upsilon$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a transversely affine structure with polar divisor of degree at most  $\Upsilon$ . The constant  $\Upsilon$  is effectively computable and depends only on the degree of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $NG^2$ , the number of singularities and the Camacho-Sad indices of  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

In other words, if a non-algebraically integrable transversely affine foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by a homogeneous 1-form  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  then there exists a homogeneous closed rational 1-form  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with polar divisor  $(\eta)_\infty$  having degree bounded by  $\Upsilon$  and satisfying the equation  $d\omega = \omega \wedge \eta$ .

In practice, an estimate of  $\Upsilon$  can be explicitly written down if one performs the reduction of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The normal bundle and Neron-Severi can be followed step by step, so that  $NG^2$  is easily known. If all the singularities of  $\mathcal{G}$  are non-degenerate the Camacho-Sad indices are computed from the first jets of local generating vector fields. In the presence of a degenerate singularity (saddle-node), the only possible non trivial Camacho-Sad index of an irreducible analytic separatrix is the so called formal invariant of the singularity. If the singularity has Milnor number  $\mu$ , this invariant is determined from the  $2\mu$ -th jet of a local generating vector field.

Actually, Theorem C follows from an algorithm to decide if a non algebraically integrable foliation admits a transverse affine structure. The algorithm provides *explicitly* an integrating factor/transversely affine structure when one exists. All this relies on the structure theorem for transversely affine foliations and Theorem A.

**1.3. Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to the mathoverflow community in general and to David Speyer in special for providing valuable and substantial help toward the proof of Theorem 3.12.

## 2. STRUCTURE OF TRANSVERSELY AFFINE FOLIATIONS

This section starts by recalling the basic definitions and properties of transversely affine foliations and then reviews the structure of this class of foliations following [CP14] and [LPT15].

**2.1. Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension one holomorphic foliation on a complex manifold  $X$  with normal bundle  $N\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by a holomorphic section  $\omega$  of  $N\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1$  with zero locus of codimension  $\geq 2$  and satisfying  $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$ . A singular transverse affine structure for  $\mathcal{F}$  is a meromorphic flat connection

$$\nabla : N\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow N\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1(*D), \text{ satisfying } \nabla(\omega) = 0;$$

where  $D$  is a reduced divisor on  $X$  and  $\Omega_X^1(*D)$  is the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms on  $X$  with poles (of arbitrary order) along  $D$ . We will always take  $D$  minimal, in the sense that the connection form of  $\nabla$  is not holomorphic in any point of  $D$ . The divisor  $D$  is the singular divisor of the transverse affine structure. Taking multiplicities of the poles as coefficients, we define the polar divisor  $(\nabla)_\infty$ , so that the singular divisor is the support of the polar divisor.

A foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is a singular transversely affine foliation if it admits a singular transverse affine structure. Aiming at simplicity, from now on, when talking about singular transverse affine structures and singular transversely affine foliations, we will omit the adjective singular.

When  $X$  is an algebraic manifold, the transverse affine structure can be defined by rational 1-forms. If  $\omega_0$  is a rational 1-form defining  $\mathcal{F}$  then the existence of a meromorphic flat connection on  $N\mathcal{F}$  satisfying  $\nabla(\omega) = 0$  is equivalent to the existence of a rational 1-form  $\eta_0$  such that

$$d\omega_0 = \omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad d\eta_0 = 0.$$

Indeed, if  $U$  is an arbitrary open subset of a complex manifold  $X$  where  $N\mathcal{F}$  is trivial then a meromorphic connection on a trivialization of  $N\mathcal{F}$  over  $U$  can be expressed as

$$\nabla|_U(f) = df + f \otimes \eta_0,$$

where  $\eta_0$  is a closed meromorphic 1-form which belongs to  $H^0(U, \Omega_X^1(*D))$ . If  $\omega_0$  represents  $\omega$  in this very same trivialization then  $\nabla|_U(\omega_0) = d\omega_0 + \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0$ . Therefore  $\nabla(\omega) = 0$  is equivalent to  $d\omega_0 = \omega_0 \wedge \eta_0$ .

The equality  $d\omega_0 = \omega_0 \wedge \eta_0$  implies that the (multi-valued) 1-form  $\exp(\int \eta_0)\omega_0$  is closed. Its primitives are first integrals for the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . These first integrals belong to a Liouvillian extension of the field of rational functions on  $X$ , and conversely the existence of a non-constant Liouvillian first integral for  $\mathcal{F}$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  is transversely affine, see [Sin92].

Even if  $\omega_0$  and  $\eta_0$  may have poles in the complement of  $D$ , the multi-valued function  $\int \exp(\int \eta_0)\omega_0$  coincides with the developing map of  $\mathcal{F}|_{X-(D \cup \text{sing } \mathcal{F})}$  and extends holomorphically to the whole of  $X - D$ . For any given base point  $q \in X - D$ , its monodromy is an anti-representation  $\varrho$  of the fundamental group of the complement of  $D$  in  $X$  to the affine group  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathbb{C}$ . The linear part of  $\varrho$  will be denoted by  $\rho$ . The abelian representation  $\rho$  coincides with the monodromy of the flat meromorphic connection  $\nabla$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X - D) & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}) \\ & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{C}^* \end{array}$$

Here and throughout the paper we will deliberately omit the base point of the fundamental groups. Hopefully no confusion will arise.

**2.2. Singular divisor and residues.** Recall from the previous section that the singular divisor of a transverse affine structure  $\nabla$  is nothing but the reduced divisor of poles of  $\nabla$ . A simple computation shows that the irreducible components of the singular divisor  $D$  of a transverse affine structure  $\nabla$  for a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  are invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$ , cf. [CP14, Proposition 2.1].

Since  $\nabla$  is flat we can attach to each irreducible component  $C$  of  $D$  a complex number  $\text{Res}_C(\nabla)$ , defined as the residue of any local meromorphic 1-form  $\eta_0$  defining  $\nabla$  at a general point of  $C$ . The residues of  $\nabla$  determine the Chern class of  $N\mathcal{F}$  as the next proposition shows. For a proof see [CP14, Proposition 2.2].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold. If  $\nabla$  is any flat meromorphic connection on a line-bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  then the class of  $-\sum \text{Res}_C(\nabla)[C]$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , with the summation ranging over the irreducible components of the singular divisor  $D$ , coincides with the Chern class of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Reciprocally, given a  $\mathbb{C}$ -divisor  $R = \sum \lambda_C C$  with the same class in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  as a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ , there exists a flat meromorphic connection  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  with logarithmic poles and  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}) = -R$ .*

**2.3. Structure Theorem.** The global structure of transversely affine foliations is described by the next result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a singular transversely affine foliation on  $X$ . Then at least one of following assertions holds true.*

- (1) *The foliation is virtually transversely Euclidean, i.e. there exists a generically finite Galois morphism  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $p^*\mathcal{F}$  is defined by a closed rational 1-form.*
- (2) *There exists a transversely affine Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$  on a surface  $S$  and a rational map  $p : X \dashrightarrow S$  such that  $p^*\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{F}$ .*

This result was first established in [CP14] under the additional assumption that  $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ . The version stated above is proved in [LPT15].

**Remark 2.3.** A foliation on an arbitrary projective manifold is virtually transversely Euclidean if and only if there exists a transversely affine structure  $\nabla$  for  $\mathcal{F}$  which has finite monodromy and at worst logarithmic poles, see [CP14, Example 2.10 and proof of Theorem 5.2]. If we restrict to projective manifolds with  $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  then the finiteness of the monodromy is equivalent to the rationality of the residues.

We also notice the following.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced foliation of Kodaira dimension zero on a projective surface  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is virtually transversely Euclidean.*

*Proof.* According to [McQ08, Fact IV.3.3], there exists a smooth projective surface  $Y$  and a generically finite rational map  $\pi : Y \dashrightarrow X$  such that  $\mathcal{G} = \pi^*\mathcal{F}$  is defined by a holomorphic vector field  $v$ . Consider the one parameter subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  generated by  $v$ . Its Zariski closure is an abelian subgroup  $H$  of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  which preserves  $\mathcal{G}$ . If every element in the Lie algebra of  $H$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{G}$  then the leaves of  $\mathcal{G}$  are algebraic and the same holds true for the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus we can define  $\mathcal{F}$  through a logarithmic 1-form. If instead there exists an element in the Lie algebra of  $H$  generically transverse to  $\mathcal{G}$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  is also defined by a closed rational 1-form, cf. [PS02, Corollary 2]. In this way, in both cases,  $\mathcal{G}$  admits a transversely affine structure given by a logarithmic connection  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  with trivial monodromy. This transverse structure descends to a transverse structure for  $\mathcal{F}$  with finite monodromy and logarithmic poles, see [CP14, Example 2.10].  $\square$

**2.4. Finite Galois morphism.** As in the sequel we are going to deal exclusively with foliations on projective surfaces, we will restrict ourselves to the two dimensional case from now on. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transversely affine foliation on a projective surface  $X$  with transversely affine structure given by a logarithmic connection  $\nabla$  with rational residues and finite monodromy. We will give details on the construction the generically finite Galois morphism  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  appearing in the statement of the structure Theorem 2.2.

Decompose  $\text{Res}(\nabla)$  as  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}} + \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  where  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the round-down of  $\text{Res}(\nabla)$  and  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is the fractional part of  $\text{Res}(\nabla)$ . According to our definition the coefficients of  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  lie in  $[0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ .

If  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$  let  $m = m(\nabla)$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $m \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a divisor with integral coefficients. Notice that the  $m$ -th power of the monodromy representation  $\rho : \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  of  $\nabla$ , extends to a representation  $\rho^m : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . If  $\rho^m$  is trivial then  $m$  is the order of  $\rho$ , in general  $\text{ord}(\rho) = m \text{ord}(\rho^m)$ . Before proceeding, replace  $X$  by the finite étale covering determined by  $\ker \rho^m$ , in order to have the equality  $m = \text{ord}(\rho)$ . Once we have done this, we obtain that  $\mathcal{L} = N\mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is a  $m$ -th root of  $m \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} = \mathcal{O}_X(m \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Let  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  be the branched covering of degree  $m = \text{ord}(\rho)$  determined by  $\mathcal{L}$  branched along the effective divisor  $m \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , see [BHPVdV04, Chapter I, Section 17]. If  $\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$  is the section vanishing along  $m \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $L$  (respectively  $L_m$ ) is the total space of  $\mathcal{L}$  (respectively  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ ) then  $Y$  is the normalization of the pre-image of the graph of  $\sigma$  under the morphism  $\theta_m : L_M \rightarrow L$  defined fiberwise by  $t \mapsto t^m$ . Of course,  $\theta_m$  commutes with the projections to  $X$ . Notice that  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  is Galois, more precisely there exists  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Y$  an automorphism of order  $m$  such that  $p \circ \varphi = p$ .

The monodromy of this covering coincides with the monodromy of the local system of flat sections of the connection  $\nabla$ . An alternative construction of  $Y$  is given by taking the étale Galois covering  $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  determined by  $\ker \rho$  and then compactifying in an equivariant way respecting the compactification  $X$  of  $U$ , see for instance [Nam87, Theorem 1.3.8].

Over smooth points of the divisor  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the surface  $Y$  is smooth, and over normal crossing singularities it has at worst cyclic quotient singularities, see [BHPVdV04, Chapter III, Theorem 5.2]. If we consider the minimal resolution of singularities then automorphisms of  $Y$  lift to the resolution. Therefore we can replace  $Y$  by its minimal resolution and still denoted by  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  the projection to  $X$  and by  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Y$  the automorphism of such projection.

Let  $\mathcal{G} = p^*\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $p$  ramifies only over irreducible components of  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , we have the formula [Bru15, Chapter 2, Section 3].

$$N\mathcal{G} = p^*N\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \left( -E + \sum_{C_0 \subset |\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}|} (p^*C_0)_{\text{red}} - p^*C_0 \right),$$

where  $E$  is an effective divisor contracted by  $p$ . Indeed, if  $C$  is an irreducible component of  $p^* \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  dominating  $C_0 \subset X$  and  $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes N\mathcal{F})$  is a twisted 1-form defining  $\mathcal{F}$  then the vanishing order of  $(p^*\omega)$  along  $C$  is equal to  $q(\nabla, C_0) - 1$  where  $q(\nabla, C_0)$  is the order of  $\text{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla)$  in the group  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore the connection  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  on  $N\mathcal{G}$  satisfies

$$\text{Res}_C(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}) = q(\nabla, C_0)(\text{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla) + 1) - 1.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_C(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}) < 0 & \quad \text{if and only if} & \quad \text{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla) \leq -1; & \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_C(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}) = -1 & \quad \text{if and only if} & \quad \text{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla) = -1; & \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_C(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}) \geq 0 & \quad \text{if and only if} & \quad \text{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla) \geq \frac{1 - q(\nabla, C_0)}{q(\nabla, C_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

By design the covering kills the monodromy of  $\nabla$ . Consequently all residues of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$ , including the ones along irreducible components of  $E$ , are integers. Therefore we have a closed rational 1-form  $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$  defining  $\mathcal{G}$  with poles over the irreducible components of  $\text{Res}(\nabla)$  with residues smaller than or equal to  $-1$ ; and leaving invariant the curves over the irreducible components of  $\text{Res}(\nabla)$  with residues strictly greater than  $-1$ .

Notice that the deck transformation  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Y$  and the closed rational 1-form  $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$  are related through the identity  $\varphi^*\omega_{\mathcal{G}} = \xi_m\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ , for  $\xi_m$  an  $m$ -th root of unity.

We summarize the discussion above in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transversely affine foliation on a simply connected projective surface  $X$  with logarithmic transversely affine structure  $\nabla$  with rational residues. Let  $m$  be the order in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  of the group generated by the residues of  $\nabla$ . Then there exists a Galois morphism  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  with cyclic Galois group from a smooth projective surface  $Y$  to  $X$  of degree  $m$  such that  $p^*\mathcal{F}$  is defined by a closed rational 1-form  $\alpha$ . Moreover, if  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Y$  generates the group of automorphism of the covering  $p$  then  $\varphi^*\alpha = \exp(2i\pi k/m)\alpha$  for some integer  $k$  relatively prime to  $m$ .*

The restriction to simply-connected surfaces in the result above is necessary only to control the order  $m$  of the monodromy on  $\nabla$  in terms of the order in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  of the group generated by the residues of  $\nabla$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Notation as in Proposition 2.5. If we further assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a foliation with reduced singularities then  $K\mathcal{G} = p^*K\mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* If the Galois morphism  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  determined by  $\nabla$  is a ramified covering, i.e. does not contract curves, then  $K\mathcal{G} = p^*K\mathcal{F}$  according to [Bru15, Chapter 2, Example 3.4].

Let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be the irreducible components of the divisor contracted by  $p$ . These curves form a finite disjoint union of Hirzebruch-Jung chains of rational curves which are contracted to singular points of the support of  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Arguing as in [Bru15, Chapter 2, Examples 3.1 and 3.4] we deduce that  $K\mathcal{G} = p^*K\mathcal{F} + E$  for some divisor  $E = \sum a_i C_i$  supported on these chains. Since  $\nabla$  is logarithmic, it follows that both residues of  $\nabla$  along the separatrices of a saddle node are integers. Therefore the singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  at the singularities of the support of  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  are reduced singularities with invertible linear part. Let  $q \in p(C_i)$  be such a singularity. The two local separatrices through  $q$  lift to  $Y$  as disjoint separatrices intersecting the Hirzebruch-Jung chains of rational curves on  $p^{-1}(q)$  at both of their extremities. Therefore each of the curves  $C_i$  contracted by  $p$  contains two singularities of  $\mathcal{G} = p^*\mathcal{F}$  with invertible linear part. From this remark and [Bru15, Chapter 2, Proposition 2.3], it follows  $E \cdot C = K\mathcal{G} \cdot C = -\chi(C) + Z(\mathcal{F}, C) = 0$ , for every irreducible curve  $C$  contracted by  $p$ . We deduce from the negative definiteness of the intersection matrix  $(C_i \cdot C_j)$  that  $E = 0$  as wanted.  $\square$

### 3. VIRTUALLY TRANSVERSELY EUCLIDEAN FOLIATIONS

This section is devoted to the proof of a particular case of Theorem A. Here we will investigate transversely affine foliations which do not admit a rational first integral and are defined by a closed rational 1-form on a suitable ramified covering of the initial ambient space. A precise statement is provided in Section 3.5 below.

### 3.1. Zeros, poles and invariant algebraic curves of closed rational 1-forms.

We start things off by observing that the divisor of poles of closed rational 1-forms defining reduced foliations do not intersect the invariant algebraic curves not contained in it.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\omega$  be a closed rational 1-form on a projective surface  $S$  and consider the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  defined by it. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is reduced in Seidenberg's sense then any germ of irreducible  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curve is either contained in  $(\omega)_\infty$  or does not intersect it. In particular, the zero divisor  $(\omega)_0$  does not intersect the polar divisor  $(\omega)_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\omega$  is closed it is clear that any irreducible component of  $(\omega)_0$  or of  $(\omega)_\infty$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant. Thus the last conclusion of the statement follows immediately from the first.

Let  $C$  be a germ of irreducible  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curve not contained in  $(\omega)_\infty$ . Aiming at a contradiction assume  $C$  is a germ centered at a point  $p \in |(\omega)_\infty|$ . Notice that  $p \in \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ .

Since there are at most two germs of  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curves centered at reduced singularity, it turns out that the polar locus is smooth at  $p$ . Thus, at a neighborhood of  $p$ , we can write  $\omega$  as

$$\lambda \frac{df}{f} + d\left(\frac{g}{f^k}\right)$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f$  is an irreducible germ of holomorphic function cutting out  $(\omega)_\infty$ , and  $g$  is a holomorphic germ relatively prime to  $f$ .

A simple computation, using that  $p \in \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ , shows that  $g(p) = 0$ . If the linear parts of  $f$  and  $g$  at  $p$  are proportional (in particular if  $g$  has trivial linear part) then the singularity is nilpotent. If instead the linear parts of  $f$  and  $g$  at  $p$  are linearly independent then we obtain a non-reduced singularity with quotient of eigenvalues equal to  $k^{\pm 1}$ . All possibilities contradict our assumptions.  $\square$

**3.2. Quasi-abelian varieties and Iitaka-Albanese morphism.** For further use we briefly review Iitaka's theory of quasi-Albanese maps [Iit76].

Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $D$  a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X$ . The Iitaka-Albanese variety of the pair  $(X, D)$  is by definition the quotient of  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))^*$  by the image of the map

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(X - D, \mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))^* \\ \gamma &\mapsto \left( \alpha \mapsto \int_\gamma \alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

We will denote the Iitaka-Albanese variety by  $\text{Alb}(X, D)$ . It is a connected abelian algebraic group which comes with a surjective morphism to the Albanese variety of  $X$  with fibers isomorphic to algebraic tori  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^r \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$  of dimension  $r = h^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) - h^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

The Iitaka-Albanese variety is an example of a quasi-abelian variety (in the sense of Iitaka). By definition a quasi-abelian variety is an abelian connected algebraic group which fibers over an abelian variety with algebraic tori as fibers.

The holomorphic map

$$X - D \longrightarrow \text{Alb}(X, D)$$

$$x \mapsto \left( \alpha \mapsto \int_{x_0}^x \alpha \right)$$

extends to a rational map  $\text{alb}(X, D) : X \dashrightarrow \text{Alb}(X, D)$ . This is the Iitaka-Albanese map of the pair  $(X, D)$ . It depends on the choice of a base point  $x_0 \in X - D$  but any two choices lead to maps that differ by translations. The image of  $\text{alb}(X, D)$  is non-degenerate, i.e. is not contained in any proper subgroup of  $\text{Alb}(X, D)$ .

One of the key properties of  $\text{alb}(X, D)$  is that the pull-back through it of the translation invariant forms on  $\text{Alb}(X, D)$  coincides with  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))$ . It will be convenient to denote the translation invariant holomorphic 1-forms on a quasi-abelian variety  $A$  by  $H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$ .

Let  $A$  be a quasi-abelian variety. By an automorphism of a quasi-abelian variety we mean a biholomorphic map which respects the group law. We will denote the group of automorphisms of  $A$  by  $\text{Aut}(A)$ . If  $G \subset \text{Aut}(A)$  is a finite group we will denote by  $A_G$  the set of points in  $A$  with non-trivial  $G$ -isotropy group. In other words  $x \in A$  belongs to  $A_G$  if and only if there exists an element  $g \in G$  different from the identity such that  $g(x) = x$ .

The next two results are stated in [BGAL99] for Abelian varieties. The proofs easily adapt to the more general case of quasi-abelian varieties. We start with [BGAL99, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a quasi-abelian variety and  $G = \langle \alpha \rangle$  a cyclic group of automorphisms. Suppose  $1 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_r$  are the orders of eigenvalues of  $\alpha^* : H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$ . Then there are  $G$ -stable quasi-abelian subvarieties  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  of  $A$  such that*

- (1)  $\alpha_i = \alpha|_{A_i}$  is of order  $d_i$ ;
- (2)  $(A_1)_{\langle \alpha_1 \rangle}$  is  $A_1$  if  $d_1 = 1$ , otherwise  $(A_1)_{\langle \alpha_1 \rangle}$  is finite;
- (3) for  $i > 1$ ,  $(A_i)_{\langle \alpha_i \rangle}$  is always finite;
- (4) the addition map  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_r \rightarrow A$  is an isogeny.

**Remark 3.3.** The proof of the Theorem 3.2 does not rely on Poincaré's complete reducibility Theorem. It constructs the abelian/quasi-abelian subvarieties  $A_i$  concretely by looking at fixed points of iterates of  $\alpha$ , see [BGAL99] for details. Beware that the obvious analogue of Poincaré's complete reducibility theorem does not hold for quasi-abelian varieties in general. There exists a quasi-abelian variety  $A$  containing a quasi-abelian subvariety  $B$  for which there is no quasi-abelian subvariety  $C \subset A$  such that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $B \times C$ , cf. [CC95, Example 2.4]. Nevertheless if  $B \subset A$  is an abelian (not just quasi-abelian) subvariety of a quasi-abelian variety  $A$  then there exists a quasi-abelian subvariety  $C$  such that  $A$  is isogeneous to  $B \times C$ , see [CC95, Proposition 2.3].

Denote by  $\varphi$  the Euler totient function, i.e.  $\varphi(d)$  is the number of primitive roots of unity of order  $d$ . The next result corresponds to [BGAL99, Proposition 1.8].

**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of order  $d$  of a quasi-Abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $g$ . If  $A_{\langle \alpha \rangle}$  is finite then the set  $\Phi_\alpha = \{\text{eigenvalues of } \alpha^* : H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1)\}$  contains  $\varphi(d)/2$  distinct and pairwise non complex conjugate primitive  $d$ -th roots of unity.*

**3.3. Foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms.** We study first the case of foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold and  $D$  be a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X$ . Suppose  $C \subset X - D$  is a compact curve such that the restriction morphism  $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$  has non-trivial kernel. Then the restriction morphism  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$  also has non-trivial kernel.*

*Proof.* If the kernel of  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$  is non-trivial then there is nothing to prove. Assume the contrary and notice that the kernel of  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$  is also trivial thanks to the functoriality of Hodge decomposition  $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \oplus H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

Hodge Theory gives the existence of a decomposition, as real vector spaces,  $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) = H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D)) \oplus \sqrt{-1}H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ , see for instance [ABCAM13, Proposition 3.6] [Ara97, proof of Proposition V.1.4]. Therefore the non-injectivity of  $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$  implies the existence of a logarithmic differential with poles on  $D$  and non-zero residues. From the long exact sequence in cohomology deduced from

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \rightarrow 0$$

we infer that the first boundary map  $\oplus H^0(D_i, \mathcal{O}_{D_i}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$  also has non-trivial kernel. Since this boundary map is nothing but the Chern class of the corresponding divisor, we obtain a divisor  $E \neq 0$  with vanishing Chern class and support contained in the support of  $D$ . If we consider the unitary flat connection on  $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$  and pull it back to  $\mathcal{O}_X$  through a section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$  such that  $E = (s)_0 - (s)_\infty$ , we obtain a logarithmic differential on  $X$  with poles on  $E$  such that all its periods (including the ones around irreducible components of  $E$ ) are purely imaginary. In the notation of [Per06, Section 3], this is the unique logarithmic 1-form  $\omega_E$  with  $\text{Res}(\omega_E) = E$  and purely imaginary periods. We can apply [Per06, Proposition 3.3] to conclude that  $\omega_E$  vanishes identically when pulled-back to  $C$ . This implies the non-injectivity of  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced foliation on a projective surface  $X$  defined by a closed rational 1-form  $\omega$  with polar divisor  $D$ . Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  does not admit a rational first integral and let  $C$  be the maximal compact  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant algebraic curve contained in  $X - D$ . Then there exists a quasi-abelian variety  $A$  and a non-constant morphism from  $r : X - D \rightarrow A$  with image not contained in the translate of any proper quasi-abelian subvariety which contracts all the irreducible components of  $C$  to points.*

*Proof.* We will construct  $r : X - D \rightarrow A$  as a quotient of the Iitaka-Albanese morphism of  $X - D$ . Consider the representation of  $\pi_1(X - D)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by integration of  $\omega$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  does not admit a rational first integral this representation must be infinite. Therefore  $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C})$  is infinite as well. Consider the restriction morphism  $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $C$  is  $\mathcal{F}$  invariant the representation defined by  $\omega$  is in the kernel of this morphism.

Lemma 3.5 implies that the restriction morphism  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$  has non-trivial kernel. Dualizing it, we deduce that

$$H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)^* \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))^*$$

is not surjective. Therefore the induced morphism

$$\text{Alb}(C) = \oplus \text{Alb}(C_i) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X, D)$$

is not surjective.

We define the quasi-abelian variety  $A$  as the cokernel of this morphism. The Iitaka-Albanese morphism  $X - D \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X, D)$  composed with the natural projection  $\text{Alb}(X, D) \rightarrow A$  gives rise to the sought morphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Notation and assumptions as in Proposition 3.6. If  $V_C \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))$  is the vector subspace consisting of logarithmic 1-forms which are identically zero when pulled-back to (all the irreducible components of)  $C$ , then the dimension of  $V_C$  is at least one. Moreover, if  $\omega \in V_C$  then  $\dim V_C \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r : X - D \rightarrow A$  be the morphism produced by Proposition 3.6. Let  $H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$  be the vector space of translation invariant 1-forms on  $A$ . Since the image of  $r$  is not contained in any proper quasi-abelian subvariety it follows that the pull-back map  $r^* : H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))$  is injective. Moreover, by construction, its image coincides exactly with  $V_C$ . This is sufficient to prove that  $\dim V_C \geq 1$ .

Suppose now that  $\omega \in V_C$ . Observe that  $r$  extends to a rational map  $\bar{r} : X \dashrightarrow A$ . If the dimension of  $A$  is one then the composition of  $\bar{r}$  with any non-constant rational function  $f \in \mathbb{C}(A)$  would be a rational first integral for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since we are assuming that such a first integral does not exist, we conclude that  $\dim V_C \geq 2$  when  $\omega \in V_C$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced foliation on a projective surface  $X$  defined by a closed rational 1-form  $\omega$  with polar divisor  $D$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  does not admit a rational first integral then there exists a section of  $K\mathcal{F}$  vanishing along the compact curves contained in  $X - D$  and invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* According to Corollary 3.7 there exists a logarithmic 1-form  $\alpha \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))$  such that the foliation defined by  $\alpha$  is distinct from the foliation defined by  $\omega$  and which vanishes when pulled-back to every compact curve in  $X - D$  invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $v \in H^0(X, TX \otimes K\mathcal{F})$  is a twisted vector field defining  $\mathcal{F}$  then the contraction of  $\alpha$  and  $v$  gives a section  $\sigma$  of  $K\mathcal{F}$  vanishing along the compact curves contained in  $X - D$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a degree  $d$  foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  given by a closed rational 1-form  $\omega$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  does not admit a rational first integral then the (reduced) support of the zero divisor of  $\omega$  has degree at most  $d - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : (Y, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F})$  be a reduction of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The 1-form  $\pi^*\omega$  defines  $\mathcal{G}$ . According to Lemma 3.1 the zero divisor of  $\pi^*\omega$  is disjoint from the polar locus of  $\pi^*\omega$ . Proposition 3.8 gives a section  $\sigma$  of  $K\mathcal{G}$  vanishing along the zero divisor of  $\pi^*\omega$ . This section descends to a section of  $K\mathcal{F}$  vanishing along the zero divisor of  $\omega$ . To conclude it suffices to observe that  $K\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d - 1)$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Finding invariant symmetric differentials.** To reduce the case of foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms after a ramified covering to the case just studied, we will now show how to produce logarithmic symmetric differentials vanishing along the compact curves. In the case  $\omega \notin V_C$  (notation as in the previous subsection) this is achieved through a direct application of the result below which is due to D. Speyer, see [Spe].

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$  be a natural number and  $\Phi$  be a subset of the set  $P(m) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  of primitive  $m$ -th roots of the unity. If  $P(m)$  is the disjoint union of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$  then*

$$1 \in \Phi^N = \underbrace{\Phi \cdots \Phi}_{N \text{ times}}$$

for some  $N \leq 6$ .

*Proof.* The complete proof can be found in [Spe]. We will not reproduce it because the proof of Theorem 3.12 below follows very closely Speyer's arguments. Here we will only deal with the case  $m = 2^k$  for  $k \geq 2$  since it will be used in what follows.

For  $m = 2^2$  the result is clear. It suffices to take any element of  $\Phi$  and raise it to the 4-th power.

Suppose now that  $m = 2^k$  with  $k \geq 3$ . Notice that the set  $\Phi^3 = \Phi \cdot \Phi \cdot \Phi$  is contained in  $P(m)$ . Aiming at a contradiction assume that  $\Phi^3 \subset \Phi$ . If  $a, b \in \Phi$  then  $a^2b \in \Phi$ . Hence for any  $a \in \Phi$  we deduced that  $a^{2^{k+1}}$  also belongs to  $\Phi$ . This shows that  $\Phi = P(m)$ . Contradiction.

Since  $\Phi^3$  is not contained in  $\Phi$  we can find three elements in  $\Phi$ , say  $a, b, c$  and one element  $d$  in  $\Phi^{-1}$  such that  $abc = d$ . Since  $d^{-1} \in \Phi$  we obtain that  $abcd^{-1} = 1$  as wanted.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $A$  be a quasi-abelian variety and  $\alpha$  be a finite automorphism of  $A$ . Then there exists a non-zero holomorphic section of  $\text{Sym}^k \Omega_A^1$  invariant by  $\alpha$  for some  $k \leq 6$ .*

*Proof.* If the only eigenvalue of  $\alpha^* : H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$  is  $d_1 = 1$  then, by Theorem 3.2,  $A_1 = A$ ,  $\alpha$  is the identity, and any 1-form is invariant. Otherwise, some eigenvalue  $d_i$  of  $\alpha^*$  is not 1, Let  $A_i \subset A$  be the quasi-abelian subvariety associated to  $d_i$  in Theorem 3.2. By Theorem 3.2 (3),  $(A_i)_{\langle \alpha_i \rangle}$  is finite and, by Proposition 3.4,  $\alpha_i^*$  has  $\varphi(n)/2$  distinct eigenvalues.

Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue associated to the eigenvector  $\gamma$  of  $\alpha_i^* : H_{\text{inv}}^0(A_i, \Omega_{A_i}^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{inv}}^0(A_i, \Omega_{A_i}^1)$ . Then  $\gamma$  extends to an element  $\hat{\gamma} \in H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$  such that  $\alpha^* \hat{\gamma} = \lambda \hat{\gamma}$ . In particular,  $\alpha^*$  also possesses  $\varphi(n)/2$  distinct eigenvalues and application of Theorem 3.10 produces an  $\alpha^*$ -invariant symmetric differential of the required degree.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be a natural number satisfying  $\varphi(m) \geq 4$ ,  $\Phi$  be a subset of the set  $P(m) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  of primitive  $m$ -th roots of the unity, and  $\lambda$  be an element of  $P(m)$ . If  $P(m) = \Phi \cup \Phi^{-1} \cup \{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}$  then*

$$1 \in \Phi^N = \underbrace{\Phi \cdots \Phi}_{N \text{ times}}$$

for some  $N \leq 12$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varphi(m) = 4$  then  $m \in \{5, 8, 10, 12\}$  and the result is a trivial consequence of  $\xi^m = 1$ .

It will be convenient to adopt the additive notation. Let  $C(m) = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  denote the cyclic group with  $m$  elements, and  $U(m)$  be the set of its generators, i.e.  $U(m) = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ .

For  $p$  a prime number we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C(p) \rightarrow C(mp) \xrightarrow{\pi} C(m) \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $y \in U(m)$  then the cardinality of  $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap U(mp)$  is  $p$  if  $p$  divides  $m$ , and  $p - 1$  otherwise.

Let  $A \subset U(mp)$  be a set and  $\lambda \in U(mp)$  an element such that

$$U(mp) = A \coprod -A \coprod \{\lambda\} \coprod \{-\lambda\}.$$

Notice that, for every  $y \in U(m) - \{\pi(\lambda), \pi(-\lambda)\}$ , we have the identity

$$\#(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A) + \#(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap -A) = p \text{ or } p - 1,$$

according to whether  $p$  divides  $m$  or not.

Suppose that the result holds true for  $m$  where  $m$  is an integer satisfying  $\varphi(m) \geq 4$ . We will now show that the result also holds for  $mp$  where  $p$  is any odd prime.

Let  $\mu = \pi(\lambda)$  and define  $B \subset U(m)$  such that for every  $y \in B$  the cardinality of  $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$  is at least  $(p - 1)/2$  and

$$U(m) = B \coprod -B \coprod \{\mu\} \coprod \{-\mu\}.$$

Suppose there exists  $b_1, \dots, b_N \in B$  such that  $b_1 + \dots + b_N = 0$ . Choose  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in C(mp)$  such that  $c_i \in \pi^{-1}(b_i)$  and  $c_1 + \dots + c_N = 0$ . Set  $X_i = (\pi^{-1}(b_i) \cap A) - c_i$  and notice that by construction  $X_i \subset C(p)$ . By Cauchy-Davenport Theorem (see for instance [Vau81, Lemma 2.14]) we have that

$$\#(X_1 + \dots + X_N) \geq \min\{p, N(p - 1)/2 - (N - 1)\}.$$

Therefore if  $p \geq 3 + 4/(N - 2)$  ( i.e.  $N \geq 3$  and  $p \geq 7$ ; or  $N \geq 4$  and  $p \geq 5$ ) then

$$\#(X_1 + \dots + X_N) = p.$$

Under this condition, we can take  $x_i \in X_i$  summing up to zero. Since  $x_i + c_i \in \pi^{-1}(b_i) \cap A$  and  $\sum(x_i + c_i) = 0$ , we have proved the claim for  $p \geq 5$ .

If  $p = 3$  then either  $\#(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A) \geq 2$  for every  $y \in B$ , or there exists  $y \in B$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$  and  $\pi^{-1}(-y) \cap A$  are both non-empty. In the first case for any  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\#(X_1 + \dots + X_N) = 3$  and therefore the  $N$  that works for  $B$  also works for  $A$ . In the second case, for any  $a_1 \in \pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$  and  $a_2 \in \pi^{-1}(-y) \cap A$  we have that  $a_1 + a_2$  belongs to  $C(3)$ . This shows that  $N = 6$  works in the second case.

An adaptation of this argument shows that  $N = 8$  works for numbers of the form  $m = 2^k$  for  $k \geq 3$ . We already now that this holds true for  $m = 2^3$ . Assuming that it works for  $2^k$ , consider the quotient map  $\pi : C(2^{k+1}) \rightarrow C(2^k)$ . Notice that  $U(2^{k+1})$  is mapped to  $U(2^k)$  and that  $\pi(\lambda) \neq \pi(-\lambda)$ . Therefore any decomposition  $U(2^{k+1}) = A \coprod -A \coprod \{\lambda\} \coprod \{-\lambda\}$  allows us to produce a decomposition of  $U(2^k) = B \coprod -B$  such that the fibers of  $\pi$  over points of  $B$  intersect  $A$ . Theorem 3.10 tells us that we can choose points  $b_i \in B$  such that  $b_1 + \dots + b_4 = 0$ . As above choose  $c_i \in \pi^{-1}(b_i)$  satisfying  $c_1 + \dots + c_4 = 0$ . We consider  $X_i = (\pi^{-1}(b_i) \cap A) - c_i$  as before. Of course,  $X_i \subset \ker(\pi)$  and consequently  $X_1 + \dots + X_4$  is formed by 2-torsion points. Therefore  $0 \in 2(X_1 + \dots + X_4)$  and we can produce 8 elements of  $A$  summing up to zero.

It remains to check that the result holds for numbers of the form  $mp$  with  $\varphi(mp) > 4$ ,  $\varphi(m) \leq 2$  and  $p \geq 3$ .

For numbers of the form  $mp$  with  $\varphi(m) = 2$  and  $\varphi(pm) > 4$  we proceed as follows. Notice that  $\varphi(m) = 2$  implies  $m \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ . If  $p = 3$  then  $\varphi(m) = 2$  and  $\varphi(pm) > 4$  implies  $m \in \{3, 6\}$  and  $pm \in \{9, 18\}$ . A direct study of these two particular cases shows that  $N = 6$  works for them. So we can further assume that  $p \geq 5$ . Let  $\pi : C(pm) \rightarrow C(m)$ . The image  $\pi(A)$  has cardinality 1 or 2. Therefore

for some  $y \in \pi(A) \subset C(m)$  we have that the cardinality of  $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$  is at least  $(\varphi(pm) - 2)/4 = \frac{p-2}{2}$ . Since  $p$  is odd and the cardinality is an integer, we get that the cardinality of  $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$  is actually bounded from below by  $\frac{p-1}{2}$ . Since  $my = 0$  we can choose  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \pi^{-1}(y)$  such that  $c_1 + \dots + c_m = 0$ . Consider  $X_i = A \cap \pi^{-1}(y) - c_i \subset C(p)$ . Cauchy-Davenport implies that  $0 \in X_1 + \dots + X_m$  if  $m \geq 4$ , or  $0 \in 2X_1 + 2X_2 + 2X_3$  if  $m = 3$ . As before, we conclude that  $N \in \{4, 6\}$  works in this case.

Finally, assume that  $\varphi(m) = 1$ , i.e.  $m = 2$ . In this case  $\varphi(mp) > 4$  implies that  $p \geq 7$ . Consider the map  $\pi : C(2p) \rightarrow C(2)$ . Clearly,  $\pi(A) = 1$  in this case. Let  $c_1, \dots, c_6 \in \pi^{-1}(1)$  be elements summing up to zero and set  $X_i = A - c_i$ . The sets  $X_i$  have each cardinality  $(p-3)/2$ , and Cauchy-Davenport tells us that  $0 \in X_1 + \dots + X_6$ . Thus  $N = 6$  works in this case.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced foliation defined by a closed rational 1-form  $\omega$  on a projective surface  $X$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  does not admit a rational first integral. Let  $D = (\omega)_\infty$  be the polar divisor of  $\omega$ . If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{F}$  of finite order then, for some natural number  $k \leq 12$ , there exists an element of  $\beta \in H^0(X, \text{Sym}^k \Omega_X^1(\log D))$  such that  $\varphi^* \beta = \beta$  and the contraction of  $\beta$  with a twisted vector field  $v \in H^0(X, TX \otimes K\mathcal{F})$  defining  $\mathcal{F}$  gives rise to a section of  $H^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k})$  vanishing along any irreducible  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant compact curve contained in  $X - D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C \subset X - D$  be the maximal  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant compact curve contained in  $X - D$ .

By Corollary 3.7, the vector space  $V_C$  of logarithmic 1-forms with poles on  $D$  and with trivial restriction to  $C$  is the image of  $r^* : H_{\text{inv}}^0(A, \Omega_A^1) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))$ , for a certain non-constant rational map  $r : X \dashrightarrow A$  to a quasi-abelian variety  $A$ . In particular  $V_C$  is nontrivial.

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  does not admit a rational first integral, the pull-back  $\varphi^* \omega$  is a complex multiple of  $\omega$ . In particular,  $\varphi^*$  preserves the divisor  $D$  and also  $C$ , the maximal  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant compact curve contained in  $X - D$ . Hence  $V_C$  is also invariant under  $\varphi^*$ . Let  $\varphi_*$  be the automorphism of the quasi-abelian variety  $\text{Alb}(X, D) = H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D))^*/H_1(X - D, \mathbb{Z})$  induced by  $\varphi^*$ . Since  $\varphi^*$  preserves  $V_C$ , it follows that the action of  $\varphi_*$  on  $\text{Alb}(X, D)$  preserves the image of  $\text{Alb}(C) = \bigoplus \text{Alb}(C_i)$  in  $\text{Alb}(X, D)$ . We obtain in this way an induced action of  $\varphi_*$  on the quasi-abelian variety  $A = \text{coker}(\text{Alb}(C) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X, D))$ . This action of  $\varphi_*$  has the same eigenvalues as the action of  $\varphi^*$  on  $V_C$ . Therefore the action of  $\varphi^*$  on  $V_C$  has eigenvalues described by Proposition 3.4. We can apply Theorem 3.12 in order to produce a symmetric differential  $\beta \in \text{Sym}^k V_C$  for some  $k \leq 12$  which is invariant under  $\varphi$ , vanishes when restricted to  $C$  and does not vanish identically along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus the restriction of  $\beta$  to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  (i.e. contraction of  $\beta$  with a twisted vector field defining  $\mathcal{F}$ ) gives to a holomorphic section of  $K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}$  with the sought properties.

In the case  $\omega \notin V_C$ , we can apply Theorem 3.10 instead of Theorem 3.12 in order to get a section of  $K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}$  for some  $k \leq 6$  with the required properties.  $\square$

**3.5. Synthesis.** The next result builds on the discussion carried out in this section. It goes a long way toward the proof of Theorem A.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced transversely affine foliation on a projective surface  $X$  with transverse structure defined by a logarithmic connection  $\nabla$  on  $N\mathcal{F}$  with finite monodromy. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  does not admit a rational first integral then for some  $k \leq 12$  there exists a non-zero section  $s$  of  $K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}$ . Moreover, the section  $s$  vanishes on all irreducible components of  $(\nabla)_\infty$  with residue strictly greater than  $-1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  be the Galois morphism obtained through a minimal resolution of the ramified covering defined by the kernel of the monodromy of  $\nabla$  as explained in §2.4. Let us denote by  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(Y)$  the automorphism of  $p$  and by  $\mathcal{G}$  the pull-back foliation  $p^*\mathcal{F}$ . By assumption  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined by a closed rational 1-form  $\omega$  with polar divisor  $P$ . Let  $C \subset Y$  be the maximal compact curve invariant by  $\mathcal{G}$  and with no irreducible component contained in the support of  $P$ . Lemma 3.1 implies that  $C$ , if not empty, is a compact curve contained in  $Y - |P|$ . Notice that the pull-back to  $Y$  of the irreducible components of  $(\nabla)_\infty$  with residue strictly greater than  $-1$  are contained in  $C$ .

Let  $v \in H^0(Y, TY \otimes K\mathcal{G})$  be a twisted vector field defining  $\mathcal{G}$ . Corollary 3.13 produces a logarithmic symmetric differential  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \text{Sym}^k \Omega_Y^1(\log P))$  invariant under  $\varphi$  whose contraction with  $v$  vanishes along  $C$ . Let  $\sigma_{|T\mathcal{G}} \in H^0(Y, K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k})$  denote this contraction. The  $\varphi$  invariance of  $\sigma$  implies the  $\varphi$  invariance of  $\sigma_{|T\mathcal{G}}$ . According to Lemma 2.6  $K\mathcal{G} = p^*K\mathcal{F}$ , therefore  $\sigma_{|T\mathcal{G}}$  is the pull-back under  $\pi$  of a section  $s$  of  $H^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k})$ . As  $\sigma_{|T\mathcal{G}}$  vanishes along  $C$  and  $\pi|_C$  is generically a submersion to  $\pi(C)$ , the section  $s$  must vanish along all the irreducible components of  $(\nabla)_\infty$  with residue strictly greater than  $-1$ .  $\square$

#### 4. FOLIATIONS OF KODAIRA DIMENSION ONE

In this section we will investigate foliations of Kodaira dimension one (not necessarily transversely affine). The study carried out here will be relevant to the proofs of the three main theorems.

**4.1. Classification.** The classification of foliations of Kodaira dimension one is due to Mendes [Men00]. The particular case of foliations of Kodaira dimension one admitting a rational first integral goes back to the work of Serrano.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced foliation on a smooth projective surface  $X$ . If  $\text{kod}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$  and  $f : X \rightarrow C$  is the pluricanonical fibration of  $\mathcal{F}$  then either*

- (1) *the fibration  $f$  is a non-isotrivial elliptic fibration and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the foliation defined by  $f$  or,*
- (2) *the fibration  $f$  has rational fibers and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Riccati foliation relative to  $f$  or,*
- (3) *the fibration  $f$  is an isotrivial elliptic fibration and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a turbulent foliation relative to  $f$  or,*
- (4) *the fibration  $f$  is an isotrivial hyperbolic fibration and  $\mathcal{F}$  is also an isotrivial hyperbolic fibration but  $\mathcal{F}$  does not coincide with the foliation defined by  $f$ .*

We will now obtain effective bounds on the least natural number  $k$  such that  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  analysing separately each of the four cases predicted by Theorem 4.1.

**4.2. Non-isotrivial elliptic fibrations.** The case of non-isotrivial elliptic fibrations is well-known. For instance it is implicitly treated in [CF15]. Yet, for the sake of completeness we state and prove the following result.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the reduced foliation subjacent to a non-isotrivial elliptic fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$  on a projective surface  $X$ . Then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 12}) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* The positive part of the Zariski decomposition of  $K\mathcal{F}$  coincides with  $f^*M_C$ , where  $M_C$  is the moduli part in the canonical bundle formula  $K_{X/C} = f^*(M_C + B_C)$  of Kodaira, see [CF15, Lemma 2.22]. Moreover  $|12M_C|$  is Cartier and base point free. More precisely,  $|12M_C|$  coincides with  $J^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$  where  $J : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is the  $j$ -invariant of the fibration according to [Fuj86, Theorem 2.9]. Therefore  $H^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 12}) = H^0(C, M_C^{\otimes 12})$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**4.3. Riccati foliations.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced Riccati foliation on a projective surface  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is not a fibration by rational curves then  $K\mathcal{F}$  is pseudo-effective, in particular it admits a Zariski decomposition. The structure of the negative part of the Zariski decomposition of  $\mathcal{F}$  was precisely describe by McQuillan in [McQ08]: in particular its connected components are formed by trees of rational curves. Furthermore, if one assumes that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is relatively minimal then the connected components of the negative part of the Zariski decomposition are Hirzebruch-Jung chains of  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant rational curves. The contraction of the negative part gives raise to a singular projective surface with at worst cyclic quotient singularities. The resulting foliation is called a nef model of  $\mathcal{F}$ . In the remainder of this section we will work with foliations produced by this process. For details the reader can consult the original paper [McQ08] or the survey [Bru03].

Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a nef model for a reduced Riccati foliation of non-negative Kodaira dimension. Let  $f : X \rightarrow C$  be the reference (equivalently, adapted) fibration. The canonical bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$  is described in [McQ08, IV.4]. We present an equivalent description below following Brunella's survey [Bru03, Section 7]. We start by recalling the classification of the fibers of the reference fibration  $f$ , assuming  $K\mathcal{F}$  is nef. They are divided in 5 classes labeled (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e).

- (a) Smooth fibers of  $f$  transverse to  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- (b) Singular fibers of  $f$  transverse to  $\mathcal{F}$  with two cyclic quotient singularities of the same order  $o$ .
- (c) Smooth fibers of  $f$  invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$  with two non-degenerate saddles or one saddle-node with multiplicity two.
- (d) Smooth fibers of  $f$  invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$  with two saddle-nodes with the same multiplicity  $m$ .
- (e) Singular fibers of  $f$  invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$  with one saddle-node of multiplicity  $l$  and two quotient singularities of order 2.

The quotient singularities over fibers of  $f$  of type (b) and (e) induce a natural orbifold structure  $C_{\text{orb}}$  on  $C$  where the points below (b) have multiplicity  $o$  and the points below (e) have multiplicity 2. Therefore

$$(1) \quad K_{C_{\text{orb}}} = K_C + \sum_{(b)} \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} b_j + \sum_{(e)} \frac{e_j}{2}$$

where the points  $b_j, e_j \in C$  are below the fibers of type (b) and (e) respectively. Similarly, the direct image of the canonical bundle of  $K\mathcal{F}$  can be expressed as

$$(2) \quad f_*K\mathcal{F} = K_{C_{\text{orb}}} + \sum_{(c)} c_j + \sum_{(d)} m_j d_j + \sum_{(e)} \frac{l_j}{2} e_j$$

where  $c_j, d_j$  and  $e_j$  run respectively among the points of  $C$  below fibers of type (c), (d) and (e). For a precise description of the coefficients  $m_j, l_j, o_j$  the reader can consult Brunella's paper. For our purposes, it is sufficient to know that  $K\mathcal{F} = f^*(f_*K\mathcal{F})$ ,  $o_j > 1$  is equal to the finite order of the local monodromy around the fiber over  $b_j$  and  $m_j, l_j$  are positive integers.

Before investigation of the pluricanonical sections, we emphasize properties of Riccati foliations and their pull-backs.

**4.3.1. Transversely affine Riccati foliations.** In the following lemmas we collect properties of transversely affine Riccati foliations which will be used in the remainder of this section and, more intensively, in Section 6. We recommend the reader to skip this section in a first reading, and return to it when necessary.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transversely affine foliation on a projective surface  $X$  which is not virtually transversely Euclidean. The following assertions hold true.*

- (1) *There exists a unique flat meromorphic connection  $\nabla$  on  $N\mathcal{F}$  which defines a transverse affine structure for  $\mathcal{F}$ .*
- (2) *If the connection  $\nabla$  is logarithmic then its monodromy is an infinite subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . In particular, if  $X$  is simply connected then the residues of  $\nabla$  are not all rational.*
- (3) *There exists a Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$  on a ruled surface  $f : S \rightarrow B$ , and a rational map  $p : X \dashrightarrow S$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is equal to  $p^*\mathcal{R}$ . The foliation  $\mathcal{R}$  can be chosen to be reduced or, even more, can be chosen to be a nef model of a reduced foliation.*
- (4) *The Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$  is transversely affine and not virtually transversely Euclidean.*
- (5) *The Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$  leaves invariant a unique algebraic section  $\sigma$  of  $f$ . No other invariant algebraic curve dominates the basis of  $f$ . The connection on  $N\mathcal{R}$  defining the affine structure for  $\mathcal{R}$  has a simple pole along  $\sigma$  with residue  $-2$ .*
- (6) *If  $\mathcal{R}$  is taken reduced and nef then:*
  - (i) *there are no invariant fibers of type (e) (nilpotent fibers in the terminology of [Bru15, Proposition 4.2]).*
  - (ii) *The components of  $(\nabla)_\infty$  that map into fibers of type (b) or (c) of  $f$  (nondegenerate fibers in [Bru15, Proposition 4.2]) are simple poles of  $\nabla$ .*
  - (iii) *If a fiber of  $f \circ p$  contains a multiple pole of  $\nabla$ , then it is  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant and contains a singularity possessing a non algebraic (perhaps formal) separatrix for  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular this separatrix bears no pole of  $\nabla$ .*
  - (iv) *The adapted ruling  $f$  is the Iitaka fibration of  $K\mathcal{R}$ , hence  $\text{kod } \mathcal{R} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* (1) The difference between two distinct flat connections on the same line-bundle is a non-trivial closed rational 1-form  $\omega$ . If the two connections define transversely affine structures for the same foliation, then  $\omega$  must vanish along the foliation. It follows that the foliation is transversely Euclidean, contrary to our

assumption. (2) This follows from Remark 2.3. (3) This follows from Theorem 2.2 and existence of reduced nef models. (4) It follows from [CLNL<sup>+</sup>07, Theorem 2.21] that  $\mathcal{R}$  is transversely affine. If  $\mathcal{R}$  would be virtually Euclidean, it would be the same for  $\mathcal{F}$ , by a fiber product argument, or Remark 2.3.

(5) Let  $\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}$  be a connection on  $N\mathcal{R}$  defining the transverse affine structure for  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $F$  is a general fiber of the ruling  $f$  then  $N\mathcal{R} \cdot F = 2$  according to [Bru15, Chapter 4, Section 1]. The residue formula (Proposition 2.1) implies that  $\text{supp}(\nabla)_{\infty}$  intersects  $F$ . If an  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant algebraic curve intersects  $F$  in more than one point then, after base change through a finite ramified covering of  $B$  and birational trivialization of  $f$ , we can assume that  $S = B \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $f$  is the first projection and, for  $y$  a suitable affine chart of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , the sections  $y = 0$  and  $y = \infty$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant. In particular  $\mathcal{R}$  is defined by a 1-form  $dy + y\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a rational 1-form on  $B$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{R}$  (after a ramified covering) is defined by the closed rational 1-form  $dy/y + \alpha$  and is therefore virtually transversely Euclidean, contradicting (4). Thus, there is a unique invariant algebraic curve that dominates the basis of the ruling and it is a genuine section  $\sigma$  contained in the support of  $(\nabla)_{\infty}$ . Proposition 2.1 implies that the residue of  $\nabla$  along  $\sigma$  equals  $-2$ . Observing that  $\mathcal{R}$  is defined in suitable affine coordinates by a 1-form

$$(3) \quad dy + \beta + y\alpha,$$

with  $\alpha, \beta$  rational 1-forms on  $B$ . One checks the local connection form for  $\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}$  is  $f^*\alpha$  and verifies the connection is logarithmic at the section  $\sigma = \{y = \infty\}$ .

(6) – (i) It suffices to observe that through the saddle-node of any fiber of type (e), the unique (non-fiber) separatrix is a two-to-one covering of the basis. The existence part of (5) shows this separatrix must be  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant, contradicting the uniformity part of statement of (5).

(6) – (ii) Let  $f^{-1}(x)$  be a fiber of type (b) or (c) and consider a polar component  $C$  of  $(\nabla)_{\infty}$  that maps in  $f^{-1}(x)$  through  $p$ , i.e.  $f \circ p(C) = \{x\}$ . After a birational  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle transformation of  $f : S \rightarrow B$ ,  $f^{-1}(x)$  becomes a nondegenerate fiber as in [Bru15, Proposition 4.2]). In particular, one has an expression (3) for  $\mathcal{R}$ , with  $\beta$  logarithmic at  $x$ . At the neighborhood in  $X$  of a smooth general point  $z$  of  $C$ , the map  $p$  is holomorphic, and  $\eta = p^*f^*\beta$  is logarithmic around  $z$ . Up to a birational line bundle transformation this latter form is the connection form for  $\nabla$  around  $z$ . In particular,  $\nabla$  has at most a simple pole at  $C$ .

(6) – (iii) If a fiber  $F$  of  $f \circ p$  is not  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant, the corresponding fiber of  $f$  crosses infinitely many leaves of  $\mathcal{R}$ . In particular, since  $\mathcal{R}$  is reduced and nef, this latter is of type (a) or (b). After (6) – (ii), this implies  $F$  bears no multiple pole of  $\nabla$ . So that if a fiber bears a multiple pole of  $\nabla$ , it is  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant and, after (6) – (ii) and (6) – (i), it is of type (d). Namely, this fiber contains two distinct saddle-nodes, with strong separatrix in the fiber. By (5), one of its two weak separatrices is not algebraic. This non-algebraic separatrix lifts to a non-algebraic separatrix for  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(6) – (iv) As, by formula (2),  $K\mathcal{R}$  comes from  $B$ , one must have  $\text{kod } \mathcal{R} = -\infty, 0$  or  $1$ . If we had  $\text{kod } \mathcal{R} = -\infty$ , by the classification of foliations,  $\mathcal{R}$  would be a fibration, in particular it would be transversely Euclidean, contradiction. Proposition 2.4 excludes  $\text{kod } K\mathcal{R} = 0$ . Hence  $\text{kod } K\mathcal{R} = 1$  and  $f$  is the Iitaka fibration of  $K\mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a transversely affine Riccati foliation on a rational surface. If a reduced nef model of  $\mathcal{R}$  does not have invariant fibers then  $\mathcal{R}$  is virtually transversely Euclidean.*

*Proof.* Since the foliated surface is rational, so is the basis of the reference fibration. Thus the monodromy group of  $\mathcal{R}$  is generated by the local monodromies around the points below the fibers of type (b) of a nef model of  $\mathcal{R}$ . As these local monodromies are all finite and share a common finite orbit, corresponding to a horizontal components of the singular divisor of the transverse affine structure, the monodromy must be virtually abelian. Furthermore, due to the inexistence of invariant fibers we can apply [LPT15, Proposition 6.1] to a reduced model of  $\mathcal{R}$  to see that the connection on  $N\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  is logarithmic. The conclusion is given by Remark 2.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation with reduced singularities on a projective surface  $X$ . Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a rational pull-back of a Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$  on a surface and  $\mathcal{F}$  is not virtually transversely Euclidean. The pull-back  $f : X \dashrightarrow C$  of the adapted fibration of  $\mathcal{R}$  is still a fibration.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{R}$  is not transversely affine, then it has no invariant section and any indeterminacy point of  $f$  is a dicritical singularity of  $\mathcal{G}$ , contradiction.

One may thus assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is transversely affine, not virtually transversely Euclidean. There is a unique reference fibration for  $\mathcal{R}$  according to Lemma 4.3, item (6) – (iv).

Assume first that the connection  $\nabla$  on  $N\mathcal{F}$  defining the transverse affine structure of  $\mathcal{F}$  is not logarithmic. On the one hand, the irregular divisor  $D = (\nabla)_\infty - ((\nabla)_\infty)_{\text{red}}$  has self-intersection zero according to [LPT15, Proposition 6.1]. On the other hand, the connection on  $N\mathcal{F}$  is induced by the pull-back of the corresponding connection for  $N\mathcal{R}$ , the normal bundle of the Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$ . Consequently, the irregular divisor is a finite union of (multiples of) fibers of the pull-back of the reference fibration. We deduce from  $D^2 = 0$  that the pull-back of fibers of the reference fibration are disjoint as wanted.

It remains to deal with the case where  $\nabla$  is logarithmic. Aiming at a contradiction, assume that the pull-back of the reference fibration has a base point. Resolution of indeterminacies (i.e. resolution of the base points) yields an irreducible component  $E$  of the exceptional divisor which dominates the basis of the fibration. If  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is the strict transform of  $\mathcal{F}$  then  $E$  must be  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -invariant as otherwise  $\mathcal{F}$  would have a dicritical singularity. Since dicritical singularities are non-reduced, this contradicts our assumptions. For the same reason, the holonomy of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  along  $E - \text{sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  must be abelian as exceptional divisors over reduced singularities have abelian holonomy. Since we know that the monodromy representation of the transverse affine structure for  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  factors through the basis of the fibration, we deduce from the commutativity of the holonomy of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  along  $E$  that the monodromy of the transverse affine structure of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is virtually abelian. Therefore, by Remark 2.3,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is virtually transversely Euclidean and we obtain the sought contradiction.  $\square$

#### 4.3.2. Bounds.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced and nef Riccati foliation on a projective surface  $X$ , with  $\text{kod}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ . Then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 42$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a transversely affine Riccati foliation that is not virtually transversely Euclidean, then we obtain the sharper bound  $k \leq 6$ .*

The proof of this proposition relies on an analysis of the formula (2) for  $f_*K\mathcal{F}$  presented in Section 4.3. We will split it in four lemmas. The first three determine  $k$  according to the genus of the base of the reference fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$ . The last

one deals with transversely affine Riccati foliations. The starting point of all the three lemmas is the same. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have an inclusion

$$H^0(C, \lfloor (f_*K\mathcal{F})^{\otimes k} \rfloor) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}),$$

where  $\lfloor (f_*K\mathcal{F})^{\otimes k} \rfloor$  is the divisor deduced from Equation (2) by rounding down to integers the rational coefficients.

**Lemma 4.7.** *If  $C$  has genus at least two then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to notice that the natural composition  $f^*\Omega_C^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow K\mathcal{F}$  induces an injection of  $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$  into  $H^0(X, K\mathcal{F})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *If  $C$  has genus one then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 4$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{F}$  has Kodaira dimension one, we see that  $\deg f_*K\mathcal{F} > 0$ . We claim that it suffices to determine  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\deg \lfloor (f_*K\mathcal{F})^{\otimes k} \rfloor \geq 2$ . Indeed if  $L$  is a line bundle over a curve  $C$  of genus 1 satisfying  $\deg(L) > 0$  then Riemann-Roch implies that  $h^0(C, L) = \deg L + h^0(C, K_C \otimes L^*) = \deg(L)$ . Since all the coefficients in Equation (2) are at least  $1/2$  and  $K_C$  does not contribute to the computation of the degree, it is clear that  $\deg \lfloor (f_*K\mathcal{F})^{\otimes k} \rfloor \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 4$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *If  $C$  is a rational curve then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 42$ .*

*Proof.* If we set  $\delta = \deg(f_*K\mathcal{F})$  and  $\delta_k = \deg(\lfloor (f_*K\mathcal{F})^{\otimes k} \rfloor)$  then from Equation (2) we deduce that

$$\delta_k = -2k + \sum_{(b)} \left\lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \right\rfloor + \sigma_k,$$

with

$$\sigma_k = \sum_{(c)} k + \sum_{(d)} km_j + \sum_{(e)} \left\lfloor k \frac{l_j + 1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

We proceed according to the value of  $\sigma = \sum_{(c)} 1 + \sum_{(d)} m_j + \sum_{(e)} \frac{l_j + 1}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We will denote by  $k_{min}$  the smallest  $k$  such that  $\delta_k \geq 1$ .

If  $\sigma > 2$  then automatically  $\sigma \geq \frac{5}{2}$ . In particular if  $k > 0$  is even then  $\sigma_k = k\sigma \geq \frac{5k}{2}$ ,  $-2k + \sigma_k \geq 1$ ,  $\delta_k \geq 1$  and we conclude  $k_{min} \leq 2$  when  $\sigma > 2$ .

If  $\sigma \leq 2$  then  $\sigma \in \{2, 3/2, 1, 0\}$ . We will now analyze each one of this possibilities.

If  $\sigma = 2$  then, for  $k$  positive and even,  $-2k + \sigma_k = 0$  and  $\delta_k \geq 1$  amounts to  $\sum_{(b)} \left\lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \right\rfloor \geq 1$ . This sum possesses at least one term, as  $\delta = \deg(f_*K\mathcal{F})$  is positive. This term is  $\left\lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \right\rfloor \geq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor \geq 1$ . We thus also have  $k_{min} \leq 2$  when  $\sigma = 2$ .

If  $\sigma = \frac{3}{2}$  then, for  $k > 0$  even,  $\delta_k = -\frac{k}{2} + \sum_{(b)} \left\lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \right\rfloor \geq -\frac{k}{2} + \sum_{(b)} \frac{k}{2}$ . If there are two fibers of type (b), we obtain  $\delta_k \geq 1$  and  $k \leq 2$ . If there are less than two fibers of type (b) then  $\delta > 0$  imposes  $-\frac{k}{2} + \sum_{(b)} \left\lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \right\rfloor \geq -\frac{k}{2} + \lfloor \frac{2k}{3} \rfloor$  and  $k = 6$  yields  $\delta_k \geq 1$ . Hence  $k_{min} \leq 6$  for  $\sigma = 3/2$ .

If  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\delta > 0$  forces  $\sum_{(b)} \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} > 1$ , and if  $k = 6l, l > 0$ , then  $\delta_k \geq -k + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{2k}{3}$  and  $k = 6$  yields  $\delta_k \geq 1$ . Therefore  $k_{min} \leq 6$  when  $\sigma = 1$ .

It remains to analyze the possibilities for  $\sigma = 0$ . In this case there are only fibers of type (a) and (b). The condition  $\delta > 0$  means that  $C_{orb}$  is a hyperbolic orbifold. It is well known this forces the presence of at least 3 fibers of type (b).

If there are at least four such fibers, and  $k = 6l, l > 0$ , then  $\delta > 0$  implies  $\delta_k \geq -2k + \frac{3k}{2} + \frac{2k}{3}$  and  $k = 6$  yields  $\delta_k \geq 1$ , hence  $k_{min} \leq 6$ .

Suppose there are exactly three fibers of type (b). We consider  $k_{min}$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\delta_k = \delta_k(o_1, o_2, o_3)$  as functions of the three weights  $(o_1, o_2, o_3)$ . We will always suppose the triples satisfy  $o_1 \leq o_2 \leq o_3$ . We will write  $(l_1, l_2, l_3) \geq (o_1, o_2, o_3)$  when  $l_j \geq o_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . The key observation is that  $(l_1, l_2, l_3) \geq (o_1, o_2, o_3)$  implies  $\delta_k(l_1, l_2, l_3) \geq \delta_k(o_1, o_2, o_3)$  and thus  $k_{min}(l_1, l_2, l_3) \leq k_{min}(o_1, o_2, o_3)$ .

If  $o_1 \geq 3$  then  $\delta(o_1, o_2, o_3) > 0$  imposes  $(o_1, o_2, o_3) \geq (3, 3, 4)$ . Direct computation shows  $k_{min}(3, 3, 4) = 12$ , hence  $o_1 \geq 3$  implies  $k_{min}(o_1, o_2, o_3) \leq 12$ .

If  $o_1 \leq 2$ , then  $\delta(o_1, o_2, o_3) > 0$  imposes  $(o_1, o_2, o_3) \geq (2, 3, 7)$  or  $(o_1, o_2, o_3) \geq (2, 4, 5)$ . Direct computation shows  $k_{min}(2, 3, 7) = 42$  and  $k_{min}(2, 4, 5) = 20$ . Thus in any case  $k_{min} \leq 42$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** Pushing further the analysis above one can obtain the following more precise picture. If there is at least one fiber which is not of type (a) or (b) then  $k_{min} \leq 6$ . If there are only fibers of type (a) and (b) then  $C_{orb}$  is a hyperbolic orbifold and as such contains at least 3 non-smooth points.

- i) if  $C_{orb}$  contains strictly more than 3 non-smooth points then  $k_{min} \leq 6$ .
- ii) if  $C_{orb}$  contains exactly 3 points then  $k_{min} = 42$  for  $(2, 3, 7)$ ;  $k_{min} = 24$  for  $(2, 3, 8)$ ;  $k_{min} = 20$  for  $(2, 4, 5)$ ;  $k_{min} = 18$  for  $(2, 3, 9), (2, 3, 10)$  and  $(2, 3, 11)$ ; and  $k_{min} \leq 12$  for any other case.

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a reduced and nef Riccati foliation on a rational surface. Assume that  $\mathcal{R}$  is transversely affine and not virtually transversely Euclidean. Then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{R}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 6$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3 (6) – (iv),  $\text{kod } \mathcal{R} = 1$  and by Lemma 4.4, there cannot be only fibers of type (a) and (b), Remark 4.10 yields the conclusion.  $\square$

**4.4. Turbulent foliations.** The picture in the case of turbulent foliations is very similar to the one drawn above for Riccati foliations, see [McQ08, IV.4] and [Bru03, Section 7]. There exists a nef model and a precise description of the fibers of the reference fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$  very similar to the one for Riccati foliations. A key difference is that the multiplicity of fibers of  $f$ , unlikely in the case of Riccati foliations, are uniformly bounded. The only possibilities are  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$  (possible orders of automorphisms of an elliptic curve fixing a point).

**Proposition 4.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a turbulent foliation of Kodaira dimension one on a projective surface. Then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 12$ .*

*Proof.* Since the multiplicities of multiple fibers belong to  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ , we deduce that  $(f_*K\mathcal{F})^{\otimes 12}$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -divisor of positive degree. Consequently, we must have  $\text{deg}((f_*K\mathcal{F})^{\otimes 12}) \geq 1$ . As before if the base  $C$  is hyperbolic then we already have that  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$ . If it is rational then we also have  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 12}) \geq 2$ . If instead the base is an elliptic curve then either some  $k \leq 6$  suffices to ensure that  $(f_*K\mathcal{F})^{\otimes k}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -divisor of positive degree or there at least two distinct orbifold points on  $C$ . In any case we guarantee that  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 12}) \geq 2$  arguing as in Lemma 4.8.  $\square$

**4.5. Isotrivial hyperbolic fibrations.** It remains to analyze the case of isotrivial hyperbolic fibrations.

**Proposition 4.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an isotrivial hyperbolic fibration. Then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 42$ .*

*Proof.* As in the case of Riccati foliations there is no uniform bound for the multiplicity of the fibers. Nevertheless there exists a nef model, and all the fibers of the pluricanonical fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$  on the nef model are transverse to the foliation. The multiple fibers induce an orbifold structure on  $C$  and  $f_*K\mathcal{F} = K_{C_{\text{orb}}}$ . The analysis carried out above for Riccati foliations shows that  $h^0(C, K_{C_{\text{orb}}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 42$ .  $\square$

**4.6. Synthesis.** We collect the results spread over the four previous subsections in the statement below.

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced foliation of Kodaira dimension one on a projective surface  $X$ . Then  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 42$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a transversely affine Riccati foliation which is not virtually transversely Euclidean then we can take  $k \leq 6$ .*

## 5. EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT CURVES OF SMALL DEGREE

The following will be used to prove Theorems A and B.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a reduced foliation on a projective surface  $X$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the pull-back under a morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  of a reduced foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on another projective surface  $Y$ . Then, for  $k > 0$ , any non trivial section  $s$  of  $K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k}$  induces a section  $\pi^*s$  of  $K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}$ . Furthermore the zero locus of  $\pi^*s$  contains the inverse image of the zero locus of  $s$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is reduced, it follows that  $K\mathcal{F} - \pi^*K\mathcal{G} = \Delta$  where  $\Delta$  is an effective divisor, see [FP11, Proposition 2.1]. Therefore  $H^0(X, \pi^*K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k})$  injects into  $H^0(X, K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k})$ , for every  $k > 0$ , in such way that a section  $s$  is mapped to a section  $\pi^*s$  with zero divisor equal to the sum of the pull-back of  $(s)_0$  with  $k\Delta$ . This is sufficient to prove the lemma.  $\square$

**5.1. Proof of Theorem A.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transversely affine foliation of degree  $d \geq 2$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with transverse structure given by a meromorphic flat connection  $\nabla$  on  $N\mathcal{F}$ . Recall that in this case  $N\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d+2)$  and  $K\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-1)$ . Assume also that  $\mathcal{F}$  does not admit a rational first integral.

Let us first treat the case where  $\nabla$  is logarithmic with finite monodromy. Thus all the residues of  $\nabla$  are rational numbers. According to Proposition 2.1 we have the identity

$$d+2 = - \sum_{C \subset \mathbb{P}^2} \text{Res}_C(\nabla) \deg(C).$$

If all the residues of  $\nabla$  are  $\leq -1$  then it follows that the support of  $(\nabla)_{\infty}$  has degree bounded by  $d+2$  which is certainly smaller than  $12(d-1)$  since  $d \geq 2$ .

Assume that there are residues which are strictly greater than  $-1$ . Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be a resolution of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  and let  $\mathcal{G} = \pi^*\mathcal{F}$ . Theorem 3.14 guarantees the existence of a section  $s$  of  $K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k}$  for some  $k \leq 12$  which vanishes along all the irreducible components of  $(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{\infty}$  with residues strictly greater than  $-1$ . This section descends to a section of  $K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}$  vanishing along the irreducible components of  $(\nabla)_{\infty}$  having the same properties. This suffices to prove the Theorem in the case  $\nabla$  is logarithmic with finite monodromy.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  admits more than one transverse affine structure then we can always choose  $\nabla$  logarithmic and with trivial monodromy, cf. [CP14, Proposition 2.1].

It remains to deal with the case where  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a unique transverse affine structure defined by a meromorphic flat connection  $\nabla$  which is not logarithmic or has infinite monodromy. The structure theorem for transversely affine foliations tells us that there exists a ruled surface  $S$ , a Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $S$ , and a rational map  $p : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow S$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = p^*\mathcal{R}$ . Since we are assuming that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not virtually transversely Euclidean, Proposition 2.4 implies that  $\mathcal{R}$  has Kodaira dimension one.

Let  $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a rational map with irreducible general fiber defining the pull-back to  $\mathbb{P}^2$  under  $p$  of the reference fibration of  $\mathcal{R}$ . If none of the irreducible components of  $(\nabla)_\infty$  is contained in fibers of  $f$  then they all come from horizontal algebraic leaves of the Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$ . Since the horizontal leaves of a transversely affine Riccati foliation have integral residues  $\leq -1$ , then all the irreducible components of  $(\nabla)_\infty$  have integral residues  $\leq -1$ . Therefore, as in the case where  $\nabla$  is logarithmic with finite monodromy we can conclude that the support of  $(\nabla)_\infty$  has degree  $\leq d + 2 < 6(d - 1)$ .

Assume from now on that some irreducible component of  $(\nabla)_\infty$  is contained in a fiber of  $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . To conclude the proof of the theorem it suffices to bound the degree of fibers of  $f$ . For that replace  $\mathcal{R}$  by a reduced foliation birationally equivalent to it and resolve the rational map  $p : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow S$  to obtain the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ q \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{p} & \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & \dashrightarrow p & S \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{G} = q^*\mathcal{F}$  be the pull-back of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $X$  and notice that it coincides with  $\tilde{p}^*\mathcal{R}$ . We know from Lemma 4.11 that there exists two linearly independent sections of  $K\mathcal{R}^{\otimes k}$ , say  $s_1, s_2$ , for some  $k \leq 6$ . The rational map to  $g : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  defined by the quotient of these two rational sections contracts the fibers of the reference fibration of  $\mathcal{R}$ . In other words, its Stein factorization coincides with the reference fibration of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{p}^*s_1, \tilde{p}^*s_2 \in H^0(X, \tilde{p}^*K\mathcal{R}^{\otimes k})$ , the pull-backs of  $s_1, s_2$  to  $X$ , define a rational map  $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  which contracts the fibers of the pull-back of the reference fibration. In particular, there exists a section in  $H^0(X, \tilde{p}^*K\mathcal{R}^{\otimes k})$  which vanishes along any given fiber of the pull-back of the reference fibration. We can apply Lemma 5.1 to obtain a section  $s \in H^0(X, K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k})$  ( $k \leq 6$ ) with the very same property. The push-forward of  $s$  to  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is a section of  $K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(k(d - 1))$  which vanishes on any given fiber of  $f$ . Thus the fibers of  $f$  have degree bounded by  $6(d - 1)$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem A.  $\square$

**5.2. Proof of Theorem B.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation of degree  $d$  on the projective plane. If  $d \leq 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a rational first integral then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a pencil of rational curves and does not satisfy our assumptions. Thus  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be a reduction of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{G} = \pi^*\mathcal{F}$  the resulting reduced foliation. Notice that  $\mathcal{G}$  is not necessarily relatively minimal, but since we are assuming that the general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  has genus  $> 0$ , we have that  $K\mathcal{G}$  is pseudo-effective according to Miyaoka's Theorem [Bru15, Theorem 7.1]. If we consider the Zariski decomposition

$P + N$  of  $K\mathcal{G}$  then the support of  $N$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant, see [McQ08, Proposition III.2.1] or [Bru15, Theorem 8.1].

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a foliation of Kodaira dimension zero then for any  $k > 0$  and any non-zero section  $s$  of  $K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k}$ , the zero locus of  $(s)$  is contained in the support of  $N$ . Thus it must be  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant. According to [Per05], there exists a non-zero section of  $K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k}$  for some  $k \leq 12$ . Moreover, if we assume that the leaves of  $\mathcal{G}$  are algebraic then we can take  $k \leq 6$ . Since  $\pi_* K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k}$  injects in  $K\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k}$  with cokernel supported in codimension two, and  $K\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-1)$  it follows the existence of an invariant algebraic curve for  $\mathcal{F}$  of degree at most  $6(d-1)$ .

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a non-isotrivial elliptic fibration then the general member of the linear system  $|K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes 12}|$  is supported on a union of fibers of the fibration. It follows that every leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  has degree bounded by  $12(d-1)$ .

Assume now that  $\mathcal{G}$  is an isotrivial hyperbolic fibration, i.e. the general leaf has genus at least 2. Thus the Kodaira dimension of  $\mathcal{G}$  is one and its Iitaka fibration has general fiber completely transverse to  $\mathcal{G}$ . Hence the Iitaka fibration defines a foliation  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\text{tang}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  is invariant by both foliations, since otherwise this tangency locus would intersect a general fiber of both fibrations. Since  $h^0(X, K\mathcal{G}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$  for some  $k \leq 42$ , the direct image of  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is a foliation defined by a pencil of curves of degree bounded by  $42(d-1)$ . The tangency locus of  $\pi_*\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is invariant by both foliations. It follows that  $\mathcal{F}$  has an invariant algebraic curve of degree at most  $42(d-1)$ . Theorem B is proved.  $\square$

## 6. ALGORITHMIC LIOUVILLIAN INTEGRATION

In this last section, we present an algorithm which computes the transverse affine structure  $\nabla : N\mathcal{F} \rightarrow N\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1(*D)$  when it exists. Meanwhile, we will obtain four estimates (I)-(IV) that prove Theorem C.

We will not dwell upon the actual implementation of our algorithm. In particular, we will assume that we can compute the reduction of singularities of any given foliation (a procedure not free from subtle computational pitfalls as discussed in [CO08]), and that we can determine all the algebraic curves of degree smaller than a given integer which are invariant by a given foliation of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  (see [Per01] and [BCCW16] for an algorithm to carry out this task).

The main algorithm is decomposed in two parts. The first finds an integrating factor for virtually transversely Euclidean foliations (case (1) of Theorem 2.2). The second part finds the integrating factor if it exists and we are *not* in the above mentioned case (1). These are described in Sections 6.3 and 6.4, respectively. Sections 6.1 and 6.2 contain preparatory lemmas to be used in both cases. Both parts of the algorithm search the integrating factor amongst finitely many possibilities so that, in case no integrating factor exists, the algorithm still terminates in finite time.

The case of foliations of degree zero or one is very simple. They are all either algebraically integrable or transversely Euclidean (defined by a closed rational 1-form). We will assume, without loss of generality, that the degree of the foliation under study is at least two.

**6.1. Basic estimates.** We present here bounds to be used in both parts of the proof in order to get the existence of the announced constant  $\Upsilon$ .

Recall the Milnor number (or multiplicity) of a singularity  $p$  of a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  defined around  $p$  by a local holomorphic 1-form  $\omega = a(x, y)dx + b(x, y)dy$  with isolated zero is  $\mu(\mathcal{G}, p) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}/(a, b)$ . If a reduced invariant analytic curve

$C$  has local equation  $f = 0$  then, after [Suw98, Chapter V], there exists  $g, h \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ , both prime to  $f$ , and  $\eta$  a local holomorphic 1-form such that  $g\omega = hdf + f\eta$ . The Camacho-Sad index  $CS(\mathcal{G}, C, p)$  is then defined as  $\text{Res}_p(-(\eta/h)|_C)$ . The index  $Z(\mathcal{G}, C, p)$  is defined as the (possibly negative) vanishing order at  $p$  of  $(h/g)|_C$ . For a compact curve  $C$ , one defines  $Z(\mathcal{G}, C)$  as the sum  $\sum_{p \in C} Z(\mathcal{G}, C, p)$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{G})$  be a reduction of singularities of a degree  $d$  foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . At each singularities of  $\mathcal{G}$ , we have one or two local irreducible convergent separatrices, concatenate all the corresponding (one or two terms) sequences of Camacho-Sad indices in a sequence  $(i_\ell)_{1 \leq \ell \leq r}$ . Let  $C$  and  $D$  be  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant curve with no common component and let  $p \in \text{sing}(\mathcal{G})$ . Set  $n = \#\text{sing}(\mathcal{G})$  and*

$$\Gamma_1 = \max_I \sum_{\ell \in I} i_\ell, \quad \Gamma_2 = \min_I \sum_{\ell \in I} i_\ell, \quad \Gamma_3 = d^2 + d + 1,$$

where the maximum and minimum are taken among all subsets  $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that the considered sum is an integer. Set also  $\Gamma_4 = \frac{3}{2} \max(\Gamma_1, -\Gamma_2) + 2n$ . Then

- (i)  $\Gamma_2 \leq C \cdot C \leq \Gamma_1 + 2n,$
- (ii)  $0 \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}, p) \leq \Gamma_3$
- (iii)  $0 \leq Z(\mathcal{G}, C) \leq n\Gamma_3$
- (iv)  $\Gamma_2 \leq N\mathcal{G} \cdot C \leq n(\Gamma_3 + 2) + \Gamma_1,$
- (v)  $\Gamma_2/2 - \Gamma_1 - 2n \leq C \cdot D \leq \Gamma_1/2 - \Gamma_2 + n.$

In particular, we have  $|C \cdot C| \leq \Gamma_4, |C \cdot D| \leq \Gamma_4, |N\mathcal{G} \cdot C| \leq n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4.$

*Proof.* By Camacho-Sad formula [Bru15, Theorem 3.2],  $C \cdot C$  is the sum of the local indices  $CS(\mathcal{G}, C, p)$ , where  $p$  runs among the singularities of  $\mathcal{G}$  contained in  $C$ . Each of these local indices is either one of the  $(i_\ell)$  corresponding to  $p$ , or there are two such terms  $i_\ell, i_{\ell+1}$  and  $CS(\mathcal{G}, C, p) = i_\ell + i_{\ell+1} + 2$ , [Suw98, Proposition 3.1 p. 157]. This yields (i). From the proof of Seidenberg's theorem [MM80, Appendice I], [Bru15, Theorem 1.1],  $\mu(\mathcal{G}, p) \leq \mu(\mathcal{F}, q)$  where  $p$  maps to  $q \in \mathbb{P}^2$ . Moreover, after [Bru15, Proposition 2.1],  $\mu(\mathcal{F}, q) \leq d^2 + d + 1$ , whence (ii). As  $\mathcal{G}$  is reduced, calculation shows, for every singularity  $p$ ,  $0 \leq Z(\mathcal{G}, C, p) \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}, p)$ . Summing up (ii) over all singularities thus yields (iii). From [Bru15, Proposition 2.3], we have  $N\mathcal{G} \cdot C = C \cdot C + Z(\mathcal{G}, C)$ , this allows to infer (iv) from (i) and (iii). Estimate (v) follows from  $2C \cdot D = (C + D)^2 - C^2 - D^2$  and (i).  $\square$

With the notation of Lemma 6.1, the bound  $\Upsilon$  we shall obtain for Theorem C will be a function of the five constants  $n, d, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, N\mathcal{G}^2$  mentioned above and one extra constant. The extra constant, called  $\Lambda$ , is defined by the formula

$$\Lambda = \max \left( \mathbb{Q} \cap \bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \{-i_\ell\} \right),$$

unless the set inside the parenthesis is empty, in which case we set  $\Lambda = 0$ .

## 6.2. Poles and residues of transverse affine structures.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a reduced foliation on a smooth complex surface  $S$  which is transversely affine with connection  $\nabla$ . Let  $E_1, E_2$  be two germs of invariant irreducible formal curves at  $p \in S$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined by a germ of vector*

field at  $p$  with eigenvalue 1 in the direction  $T_p E_1 \subset T_p X$  and eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  in the direction  $T_p E_2$ . Denote  $m_1, m_2$  the order of the poles of  $\nabla$  at  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively.

- (1) If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  then  $m_1 \leq 1, m_2 \leq 1$ .
- (2) If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  then either both poles have order 1 or both poles have order  $\geq 2$ . In any case,  $m_2 - 1 = -\lambda(m_1 - 1)$ .
- (3) If  $\lambda = 0$  then  $m_2 \leq 1$ , and  $m_1 \in \{1, \mu(\mathcal{G}, p)\}$ . Moreover, if  $m_1, m_2 \leq 1$ , the residues of  $\nabla$  at  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  belong to  $\mathbb{R}_{\leq -1}$ .

In any case, a bound for  $m_1$  induces a bound for  $m_2$  and vice-versa.

*Proof.* Let  $(\omega, \eta)$  a local pair defining  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  around  $p$ . Suppose there exists a formal diffeomorphism  $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (X, p)$  such that  $\phi^* \omega = ug\tilde{\omega}$  where  $u$  is a formal unit,  $g$  is a convergent meromorphic function and  $\tilde{\omega}$  is a meromorphic closed 1-form. Assume also one separatrix of  $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}$  has infinite holonomy. Then the formal meromorphic 1-form  $\tilde{\eta} = \phi^* \eta + \frac{du}{u} + \frac{dg}{g}$  is a formal integrating factor for  $\tilde{\omega}$ . As such,  $\tilde{\eta} = f\tilde{\omega}$ , for  $f$  a formal meromorphic first integral of  $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}$ . This foliation is reduced and has only two formal separatrices, in particular if one takes a reduced fraction  $f = f_1/f_2$  with  $f_i$  formal holomorphic,  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  is a unit and we have a holomorphic formal first integral,  $f$  or  $f^{-1}$ . If this is not constant, by [MM80, Théorème A], we obtain a non constant converging holomorphic first integral for  $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}$ , contradicting infinite holonomy. Hence  $\tilde{\eta} = \alpha\tilde{\omega}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi^* \eta = \alpha\tilde{\omega} - \frac{dg}{g} - \frac{du}{u}$ . One concludes the residue and polar order for  $\eta$  along  $E_i$  are those of  $\alpha\tilde{\omega} - \frac{dg}{g}$  along  $\phi^* E_i$ .

The argument in the next paragraphs relies on the formal classification of germs of foliations with reduced singularities as recalled in the first chapter of [Bru15].

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  then the foliation is formally linearizable and we are in the above situation with  $g = xy$ ,  $\tilde{\omega} = \lambda \frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y}$ . The holonomy  $y \mapsto e^{2i\pi\lambda}y$  has infinite order. We conclude  $\eta$  has simple poles.

If  $\lambda = -p/q$  with  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , then either the foliation is linearizable or, for some  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we have a formal normal form given by  $\tilde{\omega} = d(\frac{1}{(x^p y^q)^k}) + p(\tau - 1) \frac{dx}{x} + q\tau \frac{dy}{y}$ . In the latter case, we are in the situation of the first paragraph with  $g = (x^p y^q)^k xy$ . The holonomy  $h : y \mapsto e^{2i\pi\lambda}(y + y^{k+1} + \dots)$  has infinite order because  $h^{nq}$  is conjugate to some map  $y \mapsto y + y^{k+1} + \dots$ , for every  $n > 0$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$  both poles have order  $\geq 2$  and  $m_2 - 1 = -\lambda(m_1 - 1)$ . If  $\alpha = 0$  both poles are logarithmic, hence  $m_2 - 1 = -\lambda(m_1 - 1)$ .

If  $\lambda = 0$  then we have a formal normal form  $\tilde{\omega} = \frac{dx}{x} + \tau \frac{dy}{y} + d(\frac{1}{y^k})$ . This case is also handled thanks to the first paragraph with  $g = y^k xy$ , as above, the holonomy  $y \mapsto (y + y^{k+1} + \dots)$  has infinite order. One finds  $m_1 = k + 1$  unless  $\alpha = 0$ , in which case  $m_1 = 1$  and the residues are  $(-k - 1, -1)$ . In any case  $m_2 \leq 1$ .

If  $\lambda = -p/q$  with  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  and, in some formal coordinates, the foliation is given by  $\tilde{\omega} = p \frac{dx}{x} + q \frac{dy}{y}$ , the local pair  $(xy\tilde{\omega}, \eta)$  must satisfy  $\eta = g(p \frac{dx}{x} + q \frac{dy}{y}) - \frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y}$ , with  $g = F^{\pm 1}$  for a formal holomorphic first integral  $F$ . After [MM80, Théorème A],  $F = f(x^p y^q)$  for some  $f \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ . If  $g = F$  both poles are logarithmic. If  $g = F^{-1}$ ,  $F = v(x^p y^q)^k$ , for a unit  $v$  and  $k \geq 0$ . If  $k = 0$  we are in the previous case, otherwise  $m_1 = pk + 1$  and  $m_2 = qk + 1$ , whence the conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a reduced foliation on a smooth complex surface which is transversely affine with logarithmic connection  $\nabla$ . Assume  $\nabla$  has real residues and decompose the divisor  $\text{Res}(\nabla)$  as  $\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1} + \text{Res}(\nabla)_{\leq-1}$  where the second term is the part with coefficients  $\leq -1$ . Then the supports of these two divisors are disjoint.*

*Proof.* Let  $s$  be an intersection of two polar components of  $\nabla$ . The foliation is given by a local one form  $\lambda y dx - x dy + \dots$  around  $s$ . The logarithmic connection has a connection matrix  $\eta = r_1 f(x, y) \frac{dx}{x} + r_2 g(x, y) \frac{dy}{y}$ , where  $f, g$  are holomorphic with  $f(0, y) \equiv 1$  and  $g(x, 0) \equiv 1$ . Comparison of  $d\omega = (\lambda + 1)dy \wedge dx + \dots$  with  $\omega \wedge \eta = (r_1 + \lambda r_2)dx \wedge dy + \dots$  yields the identity  $(r_1 + 1) = -\lambda(r_2 + 1)$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $r_1 = -1$  is tantamount to  $r_2 = -1$ . If  $r_2 + 1 \neq 0$  then one must have  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and hence  $\lambda < 0$ , in particular  $(r_1 + 1)(r_2 + 1) > 0$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , by Lemma 6.2,  $r_1, r_2 \leq -1$ . In any case  $(r_1 \leq -1 \text{ and } r_2 \leq -1)$  or  $(r_1 > -1 \text{ and } r_2 > -1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Assumptions as in Lemma 6.3. The intersection form is negative definite on the support of  $\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1}$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.6, there exists a generically finite morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \setminus \text{Res}(\nabla)_{\leq-1}$  and a morphism  $Y \rightarrow A$  that contracts  $\pi^{-1}(\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1})$ . Hence, by Grauert's criterion, the intersection form is negative definite on  $\pi^{-1}(\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1})$ . For a compact divisor  $C$  in  $A$ , we have  $\pi^*(C) \cdot \pi^*(C) = \ell \cdot C \cdot C$ , where  $\ell$  is the cardinality of the general fiber of  $\pi$ . Hence, if  $C$  is non trivial with  $\text{supp}(C) \subset \text{supp}(\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1})$ , as  $\pi^*(C) \cdot \pi^*(C) < 0$ , we have  $C \cdot C < 0$ .  $\square$

### 6.3. Proof of Theorem C for virtually transversely Euclidean foliations.

Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a degree  $d \geq 2$  virtually transversely Euclidean foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  without rational first integral. Thus there exists a flat logarithmic connection with rational residues  $\nabla$  which defines a transverse structure for  $\mathcal{F}$ . As in the proof of Theorem A we will decompose  $\text{Res}(\nabla)$  as  $\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1} + \text{Res}_{\leq-1}(\nabla)$ , with  $\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1}$  supported on the union of the components which have residues greater than  $-1$ .

We will show how to algorithmically find a bound  $B$  for the degree of  $\text{supp}(\text{Res}(\nabla)) = (\nabla)_\infty$ . Once this is done we can compute all the  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curves of degree no larger than  $B$ . If there are  $m$  such algebraic  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curves and they are defined by  $m$  irreducible homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  then the existence of a transversely affine structure with logarithmic poles and rational residues is equivalent to the existence of a solution  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{Q}^m$  for the system of linear equations

$$d\omega = \omega \wedge \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i} \right),$$

where  $\omega$  is a homogeneous 1-form on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  defining  $\mathcal{F}$ . To conclude the proof of Theorem C for this case, we will explain in Proposition 6.5 that the bound  $B$  obtained from our algorithm is smaller than a quantity that depends only on the three following data: the degree of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the number of singularities of a desingularisation of  $\mathcal{F}$  and the corresponding Camacho-Sad invariants.

We start explaining our algorithm. On the one hand, a bound for the degree of  $\text{supp}(\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1})$  is given by Theorem 3.14. On the other hand, using Proposition 2.1, we can write

$$(4) \quad \deg(\text{supp}(\text{Res}_{\leq-1}(\nabla))) \leq -\deg \text{Res}_{\leq-1}(\nabla) = \deg(N\mathcal{F}) + \deg \text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1}.$$

Therefore in order to bound the degree of  $\text{supp}(\text{Res}_{\leq -1}(\nabla))$  it suffices to bound the degree of the divisor  $\text{Res}(\nabla)_{> -1}$ .

To this end, compute  $\pi : (X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F})$  a reduction of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of irreducible  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant algebraic curves  $C$  on  $X$  satisfying  $C \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \leq 12(d-1)$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of the strict transforms of irreducible  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant algebraic curves of degree at most  $12(d-1)$  and the  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant irreducible components of the exceptional divisor of  $\pi$ .

Let  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  denote the strict transform of  $\nabla$ . In particular  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a logarithmic connection on  $N\mathcal{G}$  with rational residues. As we did for  $\text{Res}(\nabla)$ , we decompose  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})$  as  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1} + \text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{\leq -1}$ . The coefficients of  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1}$  along the strict transform of  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant curves coincide with those of  $\text{Res}(\nabla)_{> -1}$  on the corresponding curves. Therefore it suffices to bound the coefficients of  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1}$  to conclude.

For that sake we are going to consider all subsets of curves in  $\mathcal{C}$ , including the empty set. According to Theorem 3.14, one of these subsets coincides with the support of  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1}$ . For each choice of subset, say  $C_1, \dots, C_k$ , we first check if the intersection matrix  $(C_i \cdot C_j)$  is negative definite in order to be in accordance with Lemma 6.4. If it is not the case we discard this subset. Otherwise, we pretend that  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1}$  is supported on this subset and use the negative definiteness to determine the coefficients  $(r_1, \dots, r_k)$  of  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1} = \sum r_i C_i$ . Indeed, it suffices to compute the integers  $\alpha_j = N\mathcal{G} \cdot C_j$  and plug them into the system of linear equations

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k r_i C_i \cdot C_j = \alpha_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

The left hand side coincides with  $N\mathcal{G} \cdot C_j$  thanks to Lemma 6.3. The negative definiteness of the matrix  $(C_i \cdot C_j)$  guarantees the existence of a unique solution. Varying through all subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$  we obtain a finite number of possibilities for  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1}$  and, consequently, a finite number of possibilities for  $\text{deg Res}(\nabla)_{> -1}$ .

**Proposition 6.5.** *With the hypotheses and notation of Lemma 6.1. Let  $S$  be the finite set of solutions of linear systems  $Ax = b$  such that*

- $A \in M_k(\mathbb{Z})$  is symmetric negative definite,  $k \leq 2n$ ,
- The coefficients of  $A$  satisfy  $|A_{ij}| \leq \Gamma_4$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ ,
- the coefficients of  $b$  satisfy  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $|b_i| \leq n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

*If  $\mathcal{F}$  is virtually transversely Euclidean and  $M$  is the maximal modulus of entries of elements of  $S$ , then*

$$(1) \quad \text{deg}(\nabla)_{\infty} \leq 12(d-1)(M+1) + d + 2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be the irreducible components of  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1}$  and  $\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{> -1} = \sum r_i C_i$ . From Lemma 6.4, one has  $C_i^2 < 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . In particular any  $C_i$  contains a singularity of  $\mathcal{G}$ . As  $\mathcal{G}$  is reduced, any singularity is contained in at most two invariant curves, so that  $k \leq 2n$ . In addition to Lemma 6.1, this shows the associated system (5) satisfies the above conditions and  $(r_i)$  belongs to  $S$ . One has  $\text{Res}(\nabla)_{> -1} = \sum r_i \hat{C}_i$ , where summation is restricted to indices for which the projection  $\hat{C}_i \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is a curve. By Theorem 3.14, the sum of the degrees of the curves  $\hat{C}_i$  is smaller or equal to  $12(d-1)$ . From  $|r_i| \leq M$ , one concludes

$|\deg \text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1}| \leq 12(d-1)M$ . The inequality (4) then shows  
 $\deg(\nabla)_\infty = \deg \text{supp}(\text{Res}(\nabla)_{>-1}) + \deg \text{supp}(\text{Res}(\nabla)_{\leq-1}) \leq 12(d-1)(M+1) + d + 2$ .

□

Moreover, using Cramer's formulas for the inverse, one easily estimates  $M$ :

$$(II) \quad M \leq 2n(n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_4^{2n-1}(2n-1)!.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem C in the particular case of transversely Euclidean foliations.

**6.4. Conclusion of the proof of Theorem C.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transversely affine foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with  $\deg(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not virtually transversely Euclidean. In particular,  $\mathcal{F}$  is not algebraically integrable.

Our task now does not reduce to bounding the degree of the support of  $(\nabla)_\infty$ . We also have to bound the coefficients of the irreducible components in it. Let  $(D_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  be algebraic curves defined by irreducible homogeneous polynomials  $(f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ . Let  $(n_i + 1)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  be positive integers. The existence of a transversely affine structure for  $\mathcal{F}$  with polar divisor  $\sum (n_i + 1)D_i$  is equivalent to the existence of a solution  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, g) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  for the system of linear equations

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} d\omega &= \omega \wedge \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{f_i} + d \left( \frac{g}{\prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{n_i}} \right) \right) \\ \deg(g) &= \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \deg(f_i), \end{cases}$$

where  $\omega$  is a homogeneous polynomial 1-form on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  defining  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We know from Theorem 2.2 that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the pull-back of a transversely affine Riccati foliation  $\mathcal{R}$  on a ruled surface  $S$  under a rational map  $p : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow S$ . As in Section 6.3, compute  $\pi : (X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F})$  a reduction of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Denote  $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  the pull-back by  $p$  of the adapted fibration of  $\mathcal{R}$ , which we may and do suppose to have irreducible general fiber. Denote also  $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$ ,  $\tilde{p} = p \circ \pi$ . By Lemma 4.5, the rational map  $\tilde{f}$  is a genuine fibration.

Decompose the polar divisor of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  as  $H + V$ , where the irreducible components of  $H$  dominate the basis of  $\tilde{f}$  and no component of  $V$  has this property. Let  $\sigma$  be the unique  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant algebraic curve in  $S$  that dominates the basis of the reference fibration, cf. Lemma 4.3. Notice  $\tilde{p}(H) = \sigma$  so that  $H$  is non empty and  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  has only simple poles in the components of  $H$ . A simple computation shows that the residues along the irreducible components of  $H$  have the form  $-k - 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

Our goal is to bound the intersection number  $(\nabla)_\infty \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) = (H + V) \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ . We will make use of the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.6.** *Notation as above. The Neron Severi group of  $X$  is denoted  $NS(X)$ . The following assertions hold true.*

- (1) *If  $W' \subset NS(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is the vector subspace spanned by the classes of the irreducible components of  $\text{supp}(V)$  then the intersection form on  $W'$  is negative semi-definite with kernel of dimension 1, spanned by a fiber of  $\tilde{f}$ .*
- (2) *If  $W \subset NS(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is the vector subspace spanned by  $W'$  and by the Chern class of  $N\mathcal{G}$  then the intersection form on  $W$  is non-degenerate.*

*Proof.* (1) Since we are assuming  $\mathcal{F}$  is not virtually transversely Euclidean, Lemma 4.4 guarantees that the support of  $V$  contains the support of a fiber of  $\tilde{f}$ . Once one observes that the classes of any two fibers are the same in  $NS(X)$ ,

the result follows from Zariski's lemma about the intersection of fiber components of a fibration, cf. [BHPVdV04, Chapter III, Lemma 8.2].

(2) We can choose a basis  $(F, e_1, \dots, e_r)$  for  $W'$  with  $F$  equal to (the class of) a fiber, and  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  elements satisfying  $e_i \cdot e_j = -\delta_{ij}$  (Kronecker delta) and  $F \cdot e_i = 0$ . Let  $D = \sum_i m_i H_i$  be the part of  $-\text{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})$  supported on  $H$ , with  $H_i$  irreducible,  $m_i \geq 2$ . By Proposition 2.1, we have  $D = N\mathcal{G} + w'$ , where  $w' \in W'$ . In particular  $D \in W$  and we have  $N\mathcal{G} \cdot F = D \cdot F$ , because  $F$  intersects trivially any element of  $W'$ . As a consequence, representing  $F$  by a smooth non-invariant fiber of  $\tilde{f}$ , one checks  $N\mathcal{G} \cdot F \geq \sum m_i > 0$ . If  $q$  is the matrix of the intersection form restricted to  $W$  in the basis  $(N\mathcal{G}, F, e_1, \dots, e_r)$ , expansion with respect to the first line shows  $\det(q) = \pm(N\mathcal{G} \cdot F)^2 \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant algebraic curves  $C$  on  $X$  satisfying  $C \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \leq 6(d-1)$ . Theorem 4.14 guarantees that the support of  $V$  is formed by members of  $\mathcal{C}$ . As in the proof of Lemma 6.6, write  $\sum_i m_i H_i = D = N\mathcal{G} + w'$ , where  $w' \in W'$ . Notice that the divisor  $H$  appearing in the decomposition  $(\nabla)_{\infty} = H + V$  is a reduced divisor since the *horizontal* poles of  $\nabla$  are logarithmic. As  $m_i \geq 2$ , it thus suffices to bound  $D \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$  to bound  $H \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ . To achieve this, consider all the subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$  which have semi-definite negative intersection matrix with one dimensional kernel; and the kernel is not orthogonal to  $N\mathcal{G}$ . Restrict furthermore to subsets for which the kernel is generated by an element with non-negative integral coefficients.

Let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be one such subset and let  $F_0$  be a generator of the kernel, with relatively prime non-negative integral coefficients. We explain below how the algorithm succeeds assuming this set yields a basis of  $W'$ . The reader will easily find out how the algorithm could stop in case this set is not a basis of  $W'$ .

First observe that  $\text{supp}(V) \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$  is smaller than the degree of the divisor tang of tangencies between the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  and a foliation  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by a pencil of degree  $6(d-1)$  curves. One can see tang has degree not bigger than  $13(d-1)$ , since  $\deg(\mathcal{H}) \leq 12(d-1) - 2$  and  $\deg(\text{tang}) = \deg(\mathcal{H}) + \deg(\mathcal{F}) + 1$ . In particular, we get the estimate  $\sum C_i \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \leq 13(d-1)$ .

Remembering  $N\mathcal{G} \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) = d+2$ , bounding  $D \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$  is then reduced to bounding the coefficients  $\beta_i$  in the decomposition  $D = N\mathcal{G} + \sum \beta_i C_i$ . To this aim, first observe that  $D \cdot C_i$  is non-negative and bounded by  $\max_j m_j \cdot Z(\mathcal{G}, C_i)$ . To bound  $\max_j m_j$ , choose  $F_1 = \lambda \cdot F_0$  in such a way that  $F_1 \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) = 6(d-1)$ . The class of  $F_1$  will be a rational multiple of the class of a fiber of the fibration  $\tilde{f}$ , with corresponding factor bigger or equal to 1. Also observe  $\lambda \leq 6(d-1)$ , for  $F_0 \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \geq 1$ . Using that any element in  $W'$  intersects trivially the fibers of  $\tilde{f}$ , we deduce

$$(7) \quad 2 \leq \sum_i m_i \leq \sum_i m_i H_i \cdot F_1 = N\mathcal{G} \cdot F_1.$$

This allows to bound the integers  $M_0, M_j$  in the following square system of linear equations for  $(1, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ .

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} N\mathcal{G} \cdot N\mathcal{G} + \sum_i \beta_i (C_i \cdot N\mathcal{G}) & = M_0, \\ N\mathcal{G} \cdot C_j + \sum_i \beta_i (C_i \cdot C_j) & = M_j, \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, k\}. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, with the notation of Lemma 6.1, one has

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} |D \cdot C_i| \leq Z(\mathcal{F}, C_i) N\mathcal{G} \cdot F_1 \\ \Gamma_2 N\mathcal{G} \cdot F_1 \leq D \cdot N\mathcal{G} = \sum m_i H_i \cdot N\mathcal{G} \leq (n(\Gamma_3 + 2) + \Gamma_1) N\mathcal{G} \cdot F_1. \end{cases}$$

The second line is obtained combining Lemma 6.1-(iv) and (7). Using Lemma 6.7 below,  $N\mathcal{G} \cdot F_1 \leq 6(d-1)N\mathcal{G} \cdot F_0$  and  $Z(\mathcal{F}, C_i) \leq n\Gamma_3$ , one may promote these bounds to coarser ones depending only on  $d$ , the number  $n$  of singularities of  $\mathcal{G}$  and the corresponding Camacho-Sad invariants.

**Lemma 6.7.** *With the notation of Lemmas 6.1 and 6.6. If  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  is a basis of  $W'$ , then  $k \leq 2n+1$  and*

$$(10) \quad |N\mathcal{G} \cdot F_0| \leq (4n+2)(n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_4^{2n}(2n)! .$$

*Proof.* Let  $F_0 = \sum \alpha_i C_i$ . As the intersection form on  $W'$  has a kernel of dimension 1, none but one of the curves  $C_i$  may have zero self-intersection, hence  $k \leq 2n+1$ . Moreover, thanks to Lemma 6.1,

$$|C_i \cdot C_j| \leq \Gamma_4, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq k.$$

Combined with [BT76, Theorem 2] applied to the system  $(\sum_i \alpha_i C_i \cdot C_j = 0)_j$ , this yields

$$|\alpha_i| \leq 2\Gamma_4^{k-1}(k-1)! \leq 2\Gamma_4^{2n}(2n)! .$$

From Lemma 6.1-(iv), we also have  $|N\mathcal{G} \cdot C_i| \leq n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4$ . The triangle inequality then yields the announced result.  $\square$

Expressing the solution  $(1, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  of the linear system (8) by means of Cramer's rule, and taking into account the integrality of the coefficients, one obtains an estimate for the coefficients  $\beta_i$ . This provides a bound for  $H \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ .

In view of proving Theorem C, observe that if  $\frac{\Gamma_5}{6(d-1)}$  is the upper bound in (10), a promoted version of (9) is

$$|D \cdot N\mathcal{G}| \leq (n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_5, \quad |D \cdot C_i| \leq (n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_5, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

and one easily deduces, setting  $\tilde{\Gamma}_4 = \max(N\mathcal{G}^2, n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)$ ,

$$|\beta_i| \leq (2n+2)(n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_5 \tilde{\Gamma}_4^{2n+1} (2n+1)! ,$$

so that

$$(III) \quad H \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \leq d+2 + 13(d-1)(2n+2)(n\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_5 \tilde{\Gamma}_4^{2n+1} (2n+1)! .$$

In practice, a better estimate is obtained from (9) and computation of  $N\mathcal{G} \cdot F_1$ .

At this point, we have a bound for the degree of all irreducible components in the support of  $(\nabla)_\infty$ , e.g. the maximum of  $6(d-1)$  and the right hand side of (III). Identify all  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant algebraic curves  $C$  with  $C \cdot \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$  not bigger than this bound, denote  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of such curves. We now proceed to bound the coefficients of the effective divisor  $(\nabla)_\infty$ . From Lemma 4.3 (6)-(iii), any fiber of  $\tilde{f}$  which contains multiple poles of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant and one of its components contains a singularity through which passes a non algebraic (perhaps formal) separatrix. The polar order of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  at this non algebraic separatrix is zero. Hence in any fiber of  $\tilde{f}$  containing a multiple pole of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$ , we have a singularity which is contained in only one curve of  $\mathcal{C}$ , say  $\tilde{C}$ . The other local (possibly formal) separatrix is not a pole of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  (non polar). From Lemma 6.2 and Milnor number computations, this allows to bound inductively the order of poles of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  in any  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant component which

is connected to  $\tilde{C}$  by a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The components of the fiber are among such curves.

Assign in this way a bound  $b_C$  for any irreducible curve  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  which is connected to a non polar separatrix by a sequence of curves belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$ . If there are various such sequences, take the smallest bound. In this manner, all the curves  $C$  that are contained in invariant fibers of  $\tilde{f}$  and are multiple poles of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$  are endowed with a bound  $b_C$ . For the remaining elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ , assign the trivial bound  $b_C = 1$ . This bounds the coefficients of  $(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_{\infty} = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{P}} a_C C$ , namely  $a_C \leq b_C$ . This allows to find the transverse structure of  $\mathcal{F}$  by solving finitely many systems (6). This finishes the description of our algorithm, we now conclude the proof of Theorem C.

The coefficients  $a_C$  can be bounded uniformly in terms of the Camacho-Sad indices and the degree of the foliation as follows.

**Lemma 6.8.** *With the assumptions and notation of Lemma 6.1. Let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be a chain of  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant algebraic curves, such that  $C_1$  bears a singularity  $q$  free of other algebraic separatrix. Let  $\Lambda = \max(\{-i_{\ell} | i_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cup \{0\})$ . For a given transverse affine structure for  $\mathcal{G}$ , denote  $a_i$  the polar order along  $C_i$ . Then, for all  $i$ ,*

$$\begin{cases} a_i \leq \Gamma_3 \frac{\Lambda^i - 1}{\Lambda - 1} \text{ if } \Lambda > 1, \\ a_i \leq i\Gamma_3 \text{ if } \Lambda = 1, \\ a_i \leq \Gamma_3 \text{ if } \Lambda < 1. \end{cases}$$

Observe that, in any case, the given bound for  $a_k$  is also valid for  $a_i$ .

*Proof.* First, if  $\Lambda < 1$ , then every singularity of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a saddle-node or has irrational quotient of eigenvalues, in particular, from Lemma 6.2,  $a_i \leq \Gamma_3$ .

Otherwise  $\Lambda \geq 1$ , we introduce the second formal separatrix  $C_0$  through  $q$ , which has polar order  $a_0 = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 6.2, that  $a_i \leq g(a_{i-1})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $g(x) = \Lambda x + \Gamma_3$ . The function  $g$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$  and a bound  $b_{i-1}$  for  $a_{i-1}$  induces the bound  $b_i = g(b_{i-1})$  for  $a_i$ . The sequence of bounds  $b_0 = a_0, b_i = g(b_{i-1})$  is the announced one.  $\square$

In our context, we apply Lemma 6.8 to chains of curves contained in fibers of  $\tilde{f}$ , hence of length  $k \leq 2n + 1$ . With the notation of Lemma 6.8, set  $\Gamma_6 = \Gamma_3 \frac{\Lambda^{2n+1} - 1}{\Lambda - 1}$  if  $\Lambda > 1$ ,  $\Gamma_6 = (2n + 1)\Gamma_3$  if  $\Lambda = 1$ , and  $\Gamma_6 = \Gamma_3$  otherwise. We infer that the polar multiplicities  $a_C$  in  $V$  are not bigger than  $\Gamma_6$ . As a consequence,

$$(IV) \quad V \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \leq 13(d - 1)\Gamma_6.$$

Combined with (III), this concludes the proof of Theorem C.

## REFERENCES

- [ABCAM13] Enrique Artal Bartolo, José Ignacio Cogolludo-Agustín, and Daniel Matei, *Characteristic varieties of quasi-projective manifolds and orbifolds*, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013), no. 1, 273–309. MR 3035328 3.3
- [Ara97] Donu Arapura, *Geometry of cohomology support loci for local systems. I*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **6** (1997), no. 3, 563–597. MR 1487227 (98m:32043) 3.3
- [BCCW16] Alin Bostan, Guillaume Chèze, Thomas Cluzeau, and Jacques-Arthur Weil, *Efficient algorithms for computing rational first integrals and Darboux polynomials of planar polynomial vector fields*, *Math. Comp.* **85** (2016), no. 299, 1393–1425. MR 3454369 6
- [BD79] Francesco Baldassarri and Bernard Dwork, *On second order linear differential equations with algebraic solutions*, *Amer. J. Math.* **101** (1979), no. 1, 42–76. MR 527825 (81d:34002) 1

- [BGAL99] Christina Birkenhake, Víctor González-Aguilera, and Herbert Lange, *Automorphisms of 3-dimensional abelian varieties*, Complex geometry of groups (Olmué, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 240, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 25–47. MR 1703549 (2000j:14072) 3.2, 3.3, 3.2
- [BHPVdV04] Wolf P. Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris A. M. Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR 2030225 2.4, 6.4
- [Bru03] Marco Brunella, *Foliations on complex projective surfaces*, Dynamical systems. Part II, Pubbl. Cent. Ric. Mat. Ennio Giorgi, Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa, 2003, pp. 49–77. MR 2071237 (2005g:32045) 1, 1.1, 4.3, 4.4
- [Bru15] ———, *Birational geometry of foliations*, IMPA Monographs, vol. 1, Springer, Cham, 2015. MR 3328860 1, 2.4, 2.4, (i), (ii), 4.3.1, 4.3.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2
- [BT76] Itshak Borosh and Leon Bruce Treybig, *Bounds on positive integral solutions of linear Diophantine equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1976), no. 2, 299–304. MR 0396605 6.7
- [CC95] Francesco Capocasa and Fabrizio Catanese, *Linear systems on quasi-abelian varieties*, Math. Ann. **301** (1995), no. 1, 183–197. MR 1312575 3.3
- [CF15] Paolo Cascini and Enrica Floris, *On invariance of plurigenera for foliations on surfaces*, arXiv:1502.00817v2 [math.AG] (2015). 4.2, 4.2
- [CL02] Colin Christopher and Jaume Llibre, *A family of quadratic polynomial differential systems with invariant algebraic curves of arbitrarily high degree without rational first integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 7, 2025–2030. MR 1896037 1.2
- [CLNL+07] Dominique Cerveau, Alcides Lins-Neto, Frank Loray, Jorge Vitório Pereira, and Frédéric Touzet, *Complex codimension one singular foliations and Godbillon-Vey sequences*, Mosc. Math. J. **7** (2007), no. 1, 21–54, 166. MR 2324555 (2010c:37107) 4.3.1
- [CLP07] Colin Christopher, Jaume Llibre, and Jorge Vitório Pereira, *Multiplicity of invariant algebraic curves in polynomial vector fields*, Pacific J. Math. **229** (2007), no. 1, 63–117. MR 2276503 1
- [CO08] Severino Collier Coutinho and Rodrigo Montenegro Oliveira, *An algebraic algorithm for the resolution of singularities of foliations*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **19** (2008), no. 6, 475–493. MR 2566144 6
- [CP14] Gaël Cousin and Jorge Vitório Pereira, *Transversely affine foliations on projective manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **21** (2014), no. 5, 985–1014. MR 3294560 1.1, 2, 2.2, 2.3, 2.3, 2.3, 5.1
- [FP11] Charles Favre and Jorge Vitório Pereira, *Foliations invariant by rational maps*, Math. Z. **268** (2011), no. 3–4, 753–770. MR 2818727 5
- [Fuj86] Takao Fujita, *Zariski decomposition and canonical rings of elliptic threefolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **38** (1986), no. 1, 19–37. MR 816221 (87e:14036) 4.2
- [Iit76] Shigeru Iitaka, *Logarithmic forms of algebraic varieties*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **23** (1976), no. 3, 525–544. MR 0429884 3.2
- [LN02] Alcides Lins Neto, *Some examples for the Poincaré and Painlevé problems*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 2, 231–266. MR 1914932 (2003j:34009) 1
- [LPT15] Frank Loray, Jorge Vitório Pereira, and Frédéric Touzet, *Representations of quasiprojective groups, flat connections and transversely projective foliations*, arXiv:1402.1382v2 [math.AG] (2015). 1.1, 2, 2.3, 4.3.1, 4.3.1
- [McQ08] Michael McQuillan, *Canonical models of foliations*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4** (2008), no. 3, part 2, 877–1012. MR 2435846 (2009k:14026) 1.1, 2.3, 4.3, 4.4, 5.2
- [Men00] Luís Gustavo Mendes, *Kodaira dimension of holomorphic singular foliations*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **31** (2000), no. 2, 127–143. MR 1785264 (2001i:14015) 4.1
- [MM80] Jean-François Mattei and Robert Moussu, *Holonomie et intégrales premières*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13** (1980), no. 4, 469–523. MR 608290 6.1, 6.2
- [Nam87] Makoto Namba, *Branched coverings and algebraic functions*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 161, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987. MR 933557 2.4

- [Pai97] Paul Painlevé, *Leçons sur la théorie analytique des équations différentielles professées à Stockholm (septembre, octobre, novembre 1895) sur l'invitation de S. M. le Roi de Suède et de Norvège.*, Paris: A. Hermann. 19 + 6 + 589 S. 4°. lith (1897)., 1897. 1
- [Per01] Jorge Vitório Pereira, *Vector fields, invariant varieties and linear systems*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **51** (2001), no. 5, 1385–1405. MR 1860669 6
- [Per02] Jorge Vitório Pereira, *On the Poincaré problem for foliations of general type*, Math. Ann. **323** (2002), no. 2, 217–226. MR 1913040 1.2
- [Per05] ———, *On the height of foliated surfaces with vanishing Kodaira dimension*, Publ. Mat. **49** (2005), no. 2, 363–373. MR 2177633 (2006m:32034) 5.2
- [Per06] ———, *Fibrations, divisors and transcendental leaves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **15** (2006), no. 1, 87–110, With an appendix by Laurent Meersseman. MR 2177196 (2006g:32023) 3.3
- [Poi91] Henri Poincaré, *Sur l'intégration algébrique des équations différentielles du premier ordre et du premier degré. I.*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **5** (1891), 161–191 (French). 1
- [PS02] Jorge Vitório Pereira and Percy Fernández Sánchez, *Transformation groups of holomorphic foliations*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **10** (2002), no. 5, 1115–1123. MR 1957664 2.3
- [PS16] Jorge Vitório Pereira and Roberto Svaldi, *Effective algebraic integration in bounded genus*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.06932 (2016). 1.1
- [Sin92] Michael F. Singer, *Liouvillian first integrals of differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **333** (1992), no. 2, 673–688. MR 1062869 (92m:12014) 1, 1.1, 2.1
- [Spe] David Speyer, *Products of primitive roots of the unity*, MathOverflow, [http://mathoverflow.net/q/136310\(version:2013-07-11\)](http://mathoverflow.net/q/136310(version:2013-07-11)). 3.4, 3.4
- [Suw98] Tatsuo Suwa, *Indices of vector fields and residues of singular holomorphic foliations*, Actualités Mathématiques. [Current Mathematical Topics], Hermann, Paris, 1998. MR 1649358 6.1, 6.1
- [Vau81] Robert C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood method*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 80, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1981. MR 628618 3.4

IMPA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA, 110, HORTO, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRASIL  
*Email address:* [gael@impa.br](mailto:gael@impa.br), [alcides@impa.br](mailto:alcides@impa.br), [jvp@impa.br](mailto:jvp@impa.br)